PROCEEDINGS

don't know, but you can't record or livestream or tweet or anything about this case. I don't expect anybody is going to do that, but if it were to happen you would be escorted out.

The other thing I noticed -- I don't think I've got anything else to say.

I don't think any of you have tried a case in front of me. No special rules. We like to keep things fairly low drama. For the rest of the trial we'll be over in our courtroom, on the cellar side of the courthouse. Given the kind of last minute aspect of this we couldn't set things up there.

Is there anything we need to raise before we bring in the jurors?

MR. FRISCH: Your Honor, I just wanted to make a record about one thing and mention one other thing. I filed under seal last week a letter with Judge Garaufis that addressed current events.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. FRISCH: So that's on my mind and I wanted to mention it to your Honor, make sure your Honor has seen that letter.

The second thing this is just housekeeping. This morning when I got to court I noticed that with regard to certain documents I may show witnesses on cross-examination I didn't make a lot of copies of them. So if you can bear with

Is there anything before we bring the jurors in?

MR. PAULSEN: No, your Honor. I can give your Honor a preview of what we're expecting for the next couple of days

23

24

I think we can get going unless there is something else you want to raise, Mr. Frisch.

MR. FRISCH: Your Honor, thank you, but I have nothing else.

23

24

What I'm going to do now is give you some

preliminary instructions and just give you an overview of what

24

you can expect during the course of the trial.

Your job as jurors is to administer justice in this case according to the law and according to the evidence. You must carry out your duties as jurors with complete fairness, impartiality, and without bias prejudice or sympathy for either side, either for or against the Government or for or against the defendant.

What I'm going to first do is give you a summary of the charge. I'm going to read the charge to you. This is not evidence. The single count in the Indictment alleges that in or about and between September 2016 and November 2016 both dates being appropriate and inclusive within the Eastern District of New York and elsewhere, the defendant Douglass Mackey, also known as Ricky Vaughn, together with others conspired to injure, oppress, threaten and intimidate one or more persons in the free exercise and enjoyment of a right and privilege secured to them by the Constitution and the laws of the United States; to wit, the right to vote.

Mr. Mackey has pleaded not guilty to all of these charges. It is the Government's burden to prove Mr. Mackey's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, which I'll instruct you further in my final instructions with respect to that charge. As I'm sure Judge Reyes told you when you were selected as jurors, the Indictment in this case is the document that brings the case here to court, but it is just an accusation.

It is not evidence of anything.

The Government has the burden of proving each of the essential elements of the charge beyond a reasonable doubt.

It's the purpose of this trial to determine whether the Government has met this burden. A defendant in a criminal case does not have to proof innocence. On the contrary, the defendant is presumed to be innocent of the charge in the Indictment.

One of your jobs as jurors is going to be to determine what the facts are, and you'll base that determination on the evidence. You as jurors are the sole judges of the facts. You will then have to apply the law as I give it to you to those facts. You must follow the law as I instruct you, regardless of whether you believe that the law is sensible or whether you think the law should be something else.

Because I am the judge of the law, I will make legal rulings, including on objections when lawyers make them. It may be that I direct the parties to move along a little bit, but don't assume that because I make a ruling that I have some opinion about this case or about what your verdict should be. I don't have any such opinion. It may be during the examination of a witness that I might ask a question, if I do that it's either because I don't understand a question that was asked or because I think something needs to be clarified

for you. But don't assume that just because I ask a question that it's particularly important or that I have some opinion

As judges of the facts, you will listen to the

evidence. The evidence consists of the testimony of witnesses

on direct examination and cross-examination. The evidence is

7 also any documents or exhibits that come into evidence. And

then the third category of evidence is stipulations, any facts

9 on which the lawyers agree.

about the case.

There are some things that are not evidence and you may not consider them. What any lawyer says during the course of the trial, whether it's in an opening statement or summation or perhaps during an objection, that's not evidence. Lawyers do have an obligation to make objections if they think there is a reason to object. So don't hold it against lawyer if they object, that's part of their job. If I overrule an objection that means that I've determined that the question is a proper one. If I sustain an objection, it means that I have determined that the question is not proper and you should ignore the question. It may happen during the course of an examination that I sustain an objection and the witness answers any way. If that happens, I'll just tell you to disregard the answer.

Another thing that is not evidence is anything that you've heard outside of the courtroom or seen outside of the

1.3

courtroom. You must decide the case only on the evidence that's presented here during the trial. One of your tasks as jurors will be to determine the credibility of the witnesses who testify. In other words, you'll be evaluating whether or not you believe what the witness is saying. You're going to determine whether you believe the witness is telling you the truth, whether the witness is lying, whether the person is accurate, or whether the person has made an honest mistake.

There is no magical test to deciding whether a witness is credible. You all bring to this process your common sense and you make these kinds of decisions very frequently in your own lives when you're trying to decide whether what someone is telling you is believable, not believable, accurate, or inaccurate. I'm going to suggest some of the things that you could consider when you're law listening to a witness's testimony.

What is the witness's demeanor; does the witness's testimony make sense; does the witness have a reason or motive to testify falsely; is the witness's testimony consistent or inconsistent with other evidence or testimony; if there are inconsistencies are they important and related to important facts or are they minor and related to unimportant facts; has the witness said something that's contradictory at another time. As I said, these are some the tests that you use to determine the witness's credibility.

Because this is a criminal case there are three rules that you must keep in mind.

First, the defendant is presumed innocent unless and until the Government has proven him guilty.

Second, it's the Government that has the burden of proof. The defendant has no burden to prove his innocence or to present any evidence. He has no burden to put on a case or to testify. If he decides not to testify or not to put on any evidence, you may not hold these facts against him.

And third, as I think I've said before, the Government must prove the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. I'll give you further instructions on this concept later, but this is one of the things that makes a criminal case different from a civil case.

I want to say a few words to you about your conduct as jurors. Because you are deciding this case only on the evidence that's presented in the courtroom, you may not conduct any independent research about anything having to do with this case, not about the people that are involved, not about the law, do not look up anything on the Internet or anywhere else, don't research the lawyers or the witnesses or the parties. Don't try to find out any information about this case from any source outside of the courtroom. I would imagine that Judge Reyes told you during jury selection that there will undoubtedly be some press coverage about this case.

Rivka Teich CSR RPR RMR FCRR Official Court Reporter

1.3

#### PROCEEDINGS

You must not read watch or listen to any reports in any form about this case. So if something were to come on the television, turn the channel. If you see anything in the newspaper, don't read it.

Don't communicate with anyone about this case in any way shape or form. I am an old-fashioned person, I'm not aware of all the forms of social media and ways that people can communicate, but don't use any of them. Not Twitter or blogging or anything like that.

Related to that, you are not permitted to discuss the case with anyone else during the trial. Until you retire to the jury room and deliberate, you're not permitted to talk about this case. That means you can't talk about it with your friends and family, and it also means you can't talk about it with your fellow jurors. I would imagine that is sort of a hard thing because this is the only thing that you have in common. It's probably quite tempting after a witness testifies to share your thoughts about the witness, what the witness said or to comment about something that happened in court. But you can't do that. If you were to start talking about the case before you have heard all of the evidence, or before you heard my charge on the law, you would be deliberating prematurely and our law does not permit that, so don't talk about the case.

I'm sure Judge Reyes also told you that the lawyers

Rivka Teich CSR RPR RMR FCRR Official Court Reporter

or the witnesses are not permitted to greet you in any way, even if they see you in the hallway. Don't think they are being rude, it's just one of the rules, they are not permitted to talk to you. Do not allow anyone to talk to you about the case. You must report to Ms. Greene any effort by any person to influence you improperly or to influence another juror improperly.

Obviously related to all of this, you can't form an opinion about the case until you've heard all of the evidence and my charge on the law. Keep an open mind until you start your deliberations at the end of the case.

Everybody has got something to write with and a notepad. You may certainly take notes during the trial, if you wish. But if you do take notes, make sure that it does not interfere with your ability to listen to the evidence.

Our court reporters are fantastic. They take down all of the testimony, and if you need to have some testimony read back at the end of the trial or if you need to review it, that will be available for you. That's the accurate record of the trial.

If you do take notes, don't discuss them with anyone before you begin your deliberations. You're not going to be permitted to take your notes home with you. They will be collected at the end of each day. And if you decide that you don't want to take notes, that's also perfectly fine. But you can't rely on anybody else's notes, because the notes are just

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

OPENING STATEMENTS - MR. BUFORD

14

for the individual juror, just for you. As I said, during your deliberations you can request portions of the trial transcript if you need to have your recollections refreshed about testimony or evidence.

The last thing I'm going to do is give you a brief overview of the trial. The Government will make an opening statement, which is really just an outline of the evidence to help you understand the evidence as it comes in. It's what the Government expects the evidence to prove. Defense counsel may, but does not have to, make an opening statement. the opening statements are designed for the parties to tell you what they expect the evidence will prove. After opening statements, the Government will then put on its case and present its witnesses and defense can cross-examine them. Following the Government's case, the defendant may, but does not have to, present witnesses or put on a case. After all the evidence is in, the lawyers will have the opportunity to address you again in closing arguments. After that I will instruct you on the law and then you will retire to deliberate and reach your verdict.

I think we're ready to begin with the opening statement by the Government.

MR. BUFORD: Good morning everyone.

The defendant, Douglass Mackey, tried to steal people's right to vote in the 2016 election presidential

real as possible.

OPENING STATEMENTS - MR. BUFORD

election. He did it by spreading a fraud that was designed to convince people that new technology would enable them to cast their vote by text message, even though, as the defendant well knew, that was not a valid way to cast a vote. The defendant used the Internet, specifically the social media application Twitter to mass distribute a series of phony digital fliers that were carefully crafted to look like official campaign advertisements, advising supporters about this new text-to-vote technology, which the fraudulent advertisements promised would allow people to save time, avoid the line, and vote from home. These fake campaign advertisements even features a real text number set up to receive incoming messages as votes that the defendant as his fellow fraudsters hijacked from technology companies to make the scheme seem as

We all expect people to do and say whatever in the heat of a hard-fought election. Attacks, maybe even misleading attacks, on candidates, issues, Government officials, the media, it's all generally fair game because it's all, at some level, designed to change people's minds.

The evidence in this case will show that when the defendant sent these fraudulent campaign advertisements, he wasn't interested in changing minds, he was interested in taking from people their right to make their own choice. He's interested in stealing the right to vote itself. That's where

OPENING STATEMENTS - MR. BUFORD

16

1 | the defendant's conduct crossed the line and that's what this

2 case is about: The defendant's participation in a coordinated

3 attack on the right to vote.

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4 Members of the jury, my name is Turner Buford.

5 Along with my colleagues, Eric Paulsen, Bill Gullotta, Special

Agent Liz Granberg, and paralegal Shivani Parshad, we

7 | represent the United States in this case.

You'll learn in this case the defendant did not use his real name online, instead he went by the name Ricky Vaughn. Under the name Ricky Vaughn, the defendant built up a large and loyal following on social media, especially on Twitter, where you'll learn the defendant's followers, the people actively watching his account, numbered in the tens of thousands.

The evidence in this case will show that in the run-up to the 2016 election, presidential election, the defendant fully committed himself to using the influence of his Ricky Vaughn account to secure the election of his preferred candidate, President Donald Trump. To that end the defendant worked tirelessly to distribute through his Ricky Vaughn accounts messaging and content that he believed would advance the cause of Donald Trump; or alternatively, weaken the position of Donald Trump's opponent, Hillary Clinton.

You'll learn the defendant's posts were often controversial, designed to push people's buttons. And

OPENING STATEMENTS - MR. BUFORD

sometimes relied on deception, doctored and manipulated photographs.

1.3

The evidence will show the defendant was highly skilled in using social media, especially Twitter to push the messages he wanted to move. The defendant knew how to tailor his messages and content to have the maximum impact, and he mastered certain technical features of Twitter that you'll learn about in this trial to turbo charge his posts, cause them to be distributed well beyond his own followers, cascading waterfalls across the Internet.

The defendant was well aware of his skills. And when a media laboratory the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, MIT, concluded the defendant was one of the most influential people commenting on the 2016 election, keeping company with the likes of Newt Gingrich at MSNBC News, the defendant bragged about it. He then added a reference to the MIT study to his online profile.

The defendant worked hard to achieve this online influence. But what you'll learn in this trial is that the Government's investigation uncovered, the defendant was not working alone. The defendant belonged to multiple private online groups with other influential Twitter users. The members of these groups agreed among themselves to use various strategies to try to sway the 2016 election in favor of Donald Trump through the use of social media, including through the

1.3

OPENING STATEMENTS - MR. BUFORD

use of images and messages that were designed to demoralize or confuse the opposition. One of these groups was even called the War Room. The members of these groups workshopped various media images, making them as compelling as possible, agreed on the images and messages that were likely to go the furthest and have the greatest affect. And coordinated the timing of the distribution of these messages through their accounts. The idea being, if they all simultaneously launched it, it would go further than if they launched it on their own schedules.

You'll learn the members of these groups recognized the defendant as the one, by virtue of his influence, who had the ability to reach the widest audience. If you wanted something to have an affect, to get noticed, to gain media coverage, the defendant was the man to see.

Almost all of the content of these groups put out was not illegal. To be sure, a lot of it relied on deception. For example, it they would send out doctored photographs of celebrities to make it look like they supported certain candidates, they would send out fake campaign posts to make it seem like candidates taken unpopular positions. This kind of content may strike you as outrageous, silly, somewhere in between. But politics in America is a rough and tumble business. And the freedoms we all enjoy require healthy tolerance of stuff like this.

# OPENING STATEMENTS - MR. BUFORD

The evidence will show, there came a time when the defendant in these groups trained their sites not on a particular issue or candidate, but rather on the mechanics of voting, the time, place, and manner by which a voter could actually register a vote. This is where their operation turned criminal.

They hatched a plan to trick voters, not out of their political support for person or idea, but out of their right to actually cast a ballot. This wasn't about changing votes, it was about vaporizing them, making them disappear, diverting from the ballot box into the void where they would never be counted. When the defendant targeted the right to vote itself, he committed a federal crime.

Here's how it worked. A series of fake campaign advertisements were created and passed around the members of these groups. These fake campaign advertisements were carefully put together to look like official statements from the Hillary Clinton campaign. They copied the Hillary Clinton campaign logo. They mimmicked the typeface and font used by the Clinton campaign. And the fake advertisements advised voters that they could text the word Hillary to a number displayed on the false of advertisements to register their votes. You'll learn in this trial that the number was real and set up to receive incoming messages. So anyone who tried to cast their vote this way would see their message go through

1.3

OPENING STATEMENTS - MR. BUFORD

without any technical issues. You'll learn that political campaigns and businesses use this same technology to communicate information to supporters and customers; a fact that made these phony fliers seem legitimate. The fake campaign ads even featured a disclaimer in fine print on the bottom, that voting by text was not available in places like Guam and Puerto Rico.

The evidence will show that he release of these fake campaign ads were times so they would flood the Internet shortly before election day, when there was little time for them to be taken down or debunked.

As the 2016 election came down the home stretch, you will learn that the defendant's Twitter account was sometimes suspended forcing him to open up new accounts to reconnect with his followers in these online groups. But the evidence will show that when the critical moment arrived, when these take campaign ads began to circulate, the defendant stood ready to play his part and he knew what he was to do, the part he was most suited to play by virtue his influence. He took these fake campaign ads and blasted them as far as he could send them, using his accounts. When he did so, he broke the law.

For his conduct the defendant is charged with one count, entering into a conspiracy against the rights of others, in this case, the right to vote.

# OPENING STATEMENTS - MR. BUFORD

Conspiracy is just a legal term for criminal agreement. In this case, the evidence will show that the defendant agreed with others to interfere with people's free exercise of their right to vote.

So how do we prove that the defendant committed this crime beyond a reasonable doubt. The evidence in this case will consist primarily of witness testimony and documents.

First with respect to witnesses. You'll hear from witnesses who saw the scheme play out in realtime and acted quickly to counter. Specifically one witness who saw the fake campaign advertisements to start to spread across Twitter and promptly reported them to Twitter management.

You'll hear from a campaign worker who observed the fake ads mushrooming up across the Internet, and took action to make sure that anyone who saw them would know they were fake.

You'll hear from the owner of the technology company that controlled the text number featured on the fake campaign ads tell you he was surprised to find out that his number had been hijacked. He will tell you his company put in place an automatic response message to anybody who tried to text their vote; meaning, the text you would get back the message telling them this was not real. Thereby, effectively foiling the scheme just as it was getting started.

That brings us to the documents you'll see. In this

1.3

case you'll get a chance to look inside these online groups to which the defendant belonged. You'll see the defendant's own communication, both the ones he sent publicly and the ones that he sent to his fellow group members. You'll see in those communications the defendant believed that the election was going to be very close and the key to success was the turnout or lack thereof of certain demographic groups. You'll see the development of various groups that tried to influence the election. You'll see the members of these groups working together to identify or find content, strategize how to get it to the widest possible audience. You'll see the members of the group conclude, the best way to reach people, is to have the defendant send it.

You'll see these same techniques apply to the criminal text-to-vote scheme. Techniques that culminated in the defendant's sending out a series of fraudulent campaign advertisements designed to fool people into forfeiting their most protected right, the right to vote. The right that makes all other rights possible.

Members of the jury, at the end of this case we'll have a chance to speak with you again. When we do, we'll ask you to render the only verdict that is consistent to the evidence you'll see and hear, a verdict of guilty. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Frisch, do you wish to make an opening

OPENING STATEMENTS - MR. FRISCH

23

1 statement?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FRISCH: Your Honor, I do. Thank you.

Ladies and gentlemen, good morning. Why would someone see two memes and share them on Twitter? Twitter, two clicks. Why would someone see and share two memes that suggested you could vote for president of the United States anonymously without giving your name. You could vote for president of the United States without proof that you're registered to vote. You could vote without proof that you're a citizen of the United States. You could vote without proof that you're old enough to vote. You could vote more than once, from the same number. You could vote twice. times, if you wanted. You could just keep texting. You could vote multiple times, but each time from a different phone. limit how many different times you can text or how many different phones you can use. You can vote without disclosing where you live, not what voting precinct, not what neighborhood, not what city, not what state.

You could vote without any regard to the electoral college. Now, the electoral college is not easy to understand, even for people who closely follow elections, but it's common knowledge that we vote for president by state. There are blue states and red states and purple states, the ones in between.

The candidates campaign by state. They mostly focus

OPENING STATEMENTS - MR. FRISCH

on the swing states, the purple states, like Wisconsin and North Carolina. People sometimes stay up late on election day, sometimes past midnight, watching CNN or Fox or MSNBC; not to see who carried blue states like New York, but who carried the swing states, the purple states.

According to these two memes, doesn't matter what state you're from.

All of these things suggested, all of it, you can vote anonymously, without proof that you're registered, without proof that you're a citizen, without proof that you're old enough, you can vote, you can text as many times as you want from different phones if you want, it doesn't matter what states you lived in, what your voting precinct is. All of it suggested in the name of Hillary Clinton. And for a presumptive constituency of hers, women, women of color.

And why, if it's your intent to trick voters, why would election day, a full week away on November 8, 2016,

November 8. Why would you share the two memes late on

November 1st, one of which says you have to wait a full week to text, allowing a full week November 1 to November 8, giving the Clinton campaign, the media, Clinton supporters online plenty of time to react.

If your intent is to trick voters, why not do it on the morning of election day? The day before. Why give things a full week to unravel?

You will see at this trial that the answer is that these two memes were not shared to trick anyone. The Government at this trial is not required to show that anyone was tricked; but no one was tricked. Maybe someone, somewhere, could conceivably have been tricked — anything is possible — but there is no evidence of it. So why would someone share these two memes on Twitter? Two quick clicks.

Ladies and gentlemen, everyone in the United States

has the right to remain silent, to say nothing. It's the Government's idea to charge you with a crime, it's on the Government to prove it, to back it up with proof. The person charged need not say a word. If the person charged, the defendant, remains silent and says nothing, a jury may not hold it against him. It's the constitutional right to remain silent. Quite the opposite, a jury in a criminal case must hold the Government to its burden to prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, beyond a reasonable doubt.

But ladies and gentlemen, the Government in this case cannot prove guilt by any standard, any standard. And we are not staying silent.

I speak in this courtroom for Doug Mackey. Doug, will you please stand up?

When the Government is all done putting on its case, Mr. Mackey will have an opportunity to put on our case.

Mr. Mackey will stand up again, as he is now, he will walk to

On November 3rd, 2016, that's two days after Mr. Mackey shared the two memes -- this is five days before election day -- the media was reporting about these memes. CNN, Buzzfeed, MSNBC. It had been a big national news story.

(Continued on next page.)

18

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

## OPENING STATEMENTS - MR. FRISCH

MR. FRISCH: What will the evidence show that
Mr. Mackey said upon seeing all of this news coverage about
the two memes that he shared on the internet? Was he
disappointed that this purportedly grand plan, this purported
criminal conspiracy had failed? Had been scuttled. Was he
upset? No. I expect that you will see from the Government's
evidence that this is what he said. That feeling when you
haphazardly post a meme and it winds up on cable television.
That feeling when you haphazardly post a meme and it wind s
up on cable television. Haphazardly. That feeling. Mr.
Mackey did not share these memes as part of some grand plan,
some sort of criminal conspiracy to trick anyone. That's not
what this was about.

By November 1st, the evidence will show Mr. Mackey was well-known on Twitter through this avatar, Ricky Vaughn. Ricky Vaughn was a fictional baseball player from the old movie Major League portrayed by the actor Charlie Sheen. In the movie Major League, Ricky Vaughn was known as the wild thing. Because in the language of baseball, his pitches were wild. His pitches went all over the place. You might say he pitched haphazardly. His avatar, the picture you saw on his tweets, was Charlie Sheen in a red MAGA hat. Ricky Vaughn's popularity took Mr. Mackey by surprise. He was born in Washington, D.C. and grew up mostly in Vermont. His dad at one time worked for a senator from Vermont, and so Mr. Mackey,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

OPENING STATEMENTS - MR. FRISCH

28

as a kid, grew up at home hearing about politics. After college, Mr. Mackey moved to New York and had a job for a while working as an economic analyst, but the work was not to great interest to him. From Mr. Mackey's apartment in Manhattan, he opened his Twitter account as Ricky Vaughn. started tweeting mostly about politics. Of surprise to Mr. Mackey, people in the Twittersphere paid attention to him. People were listening to what he had to say, really for the first time. By contract in Mr. Mackey's work as an economic analyst, economic analyst, he was now doing something that gave him a sense of purpose, a sense of meaning. Ricky Vaughn went viral. Mr. Mackey developed a following, he was popular. As Mr. Mackey put it, as you will see, I believe, in one of the Government's exhibits, he never set out to be a leader, but he had been a leader online. He had become a famous online personality. Now, by February 2016, remember, this is now eight February 2016, eight, months before Election Day, a PHD researched named Eric Chu, C-H-U. Eric Chu from MIT, the well-known research university in Boston, Dr. Chu and his colleagues analyzed how the digital revolution and the rise of

social media had changed everything in presidential elections.

It used to be that the official campaigns of the major

candidates controlled the messages. Controlled the media

messages. It used to be that it was the major campaigns that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## OPENING STATEMENTS - MR. FRISCH

29

worked everyday to influence traditional media like CNN, influenced the days media coverage. Political operatives of the campaigns would go on television and debate which candidate won the day. Who was in charge of the news cycle that day. Whose story was being told, which candidate was on message, highlighting strengths. Who was off message, losing the narrative.

For the political operatives of the candidates, it was political combat. They actually, sometimes called their offices war rooms. Social media changed everything in presidential elections. With the rise of social media in just the last 10 to 15 years, literally anyone can speak their mind and be heard on the internet. Literally anyone can go viral. Your neighbor, your daughter, your son. Anyone with a laptop. Dr. Chu's study explains why candidates from Bernie Sanders to Donald Trump could bypass traditional media and become viable candidates by using social media without paying anyone. candidates had armies of people with laptops supporting them. Dr. Chu and his team analyzed social media and concluded that Ricky Vaughn, again, we're talking February 2016, eight months before the election. Ricky Vaughn had become more influential than television personalities like Jimmy Fallon, Stephen Colbert, and Glenn Beck. Roseanne Barr and Lou Dobbs were among familiar people that retweeted Ricky Vaughn. You'll see a chat in the Government's evidence, and if the Government

## OPENING STATEMENTS - MR. FRISCH

doesn't show it to you, I will, that Ricky Vaughn became as popular on Twitter as Cher. Social media had changed the world so much by February 2016, eight months before the presidential election of 2016, that the man seated across the room from you had as much influences as Cher. And Mr. Mackey ran with it.

Almost every day in 2016, Mr. Mackey posted or sent hundreds of tweets, messages, and memes of all sorts.

Everyday Mr. Mackey was and is a strong political conservative, and his tweets, and messages, and memes supported the candidacy of Donald Trump. Whatever any of us may think about the candidates in 2016; Hillary Clinton or Donald Trump, it's not a crime to vigorously support your candidate of choice.

Before Mr. Mackey became famous, there was a term on the internet for the way he used twitter. You'll see this term in this evidence, Mr. Mackey did not come up with this term. It's a term on Twitter. Now, forgive me in advance for using a bit of profanity, but the term of that preexisted Ricky Vaughn was shit posting. It means what it says. Posting stuff. It was posting on social media deliberately provocative or off-topic comments in order to distract from the main conversation, to get attention, to go viral. Don't pay attention over there, look over here. Not something clandestine or a secret criminal conspiracy, but precisely for

OPENING STATEMENTS - MR. FRISCH

31

1 | the purpose of calling attention to yourself. Listen to me,

2 look at me. A lot of it was online trash talking. Juvenile,

3 | immature, sometimes vulgar like teenagers playing pickup

basketball in the park. Constant trash talk, talking stuff.

Posting stuff with the hope that something would catch on and

6 go viral, get attention, get under one's skin, distract from

7 | the main conversation. Put your opponent off his game. Kind

of like the rap battles we sometimes see or celebrity roasts

where the whole idea is to shock.

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Presidential campaigns in the United States have always been rambunctious, and the digital age and social media have made it more rowdy because it's to disturb. Everyone is fighting for attention, everyone wants to go viral. You may like what you see online, you may not like what you see online, but the First Amendment to the United States

Constitution is freedom of speech. We tolerate all kinds of speech we like, speech we don't like. We let people speak.

Because from speech, the truth emerges. The marketplace of ideas. Even things in bad taste, even things that effect.

Here are some examples that you will see that my friends at the prosecution table do not claim are illegal. You will see from the Government's evidence, I think, Photoshopped pop music stars wearing MAGA hats.

Justin Bieber. Iggy azalea. Here's one. Lana Del Ray.

Anyone that listens to even a little Lana Del Ray, or maybe a

OPENING STATEMENTS - MR. FRISCH

had lot, knows that she's no fan of Donald Trump. Some posts
attempted to be more serious. During the presidential
campaign in 2016, critics of Hillary Clinton said she would be

4 to militaristic if elected president. She would un dually

involve the United States in new wars around the world.

To make the point, there were memes about young women in combat overseas. Young women drafted to fight wars overseas. Our children, our daughters would be drafted for combat. Whatever you may or may not think, if you even thought about it, Hillary Clinton had her views on foreign policy. Even the Government doesn't claim these memes were illegal.

You will hear about things that Mr. Mackey said; some serious, some not. Some offensive. But whatever your reaction as you hear his views; whether you agree or disagree, whether his vows were right or wrong, whether he was a great thinker or a Neanderthal caveman, you will see that none of it is proof of a conspiracy to trick voters.

A criminal conspiracy on people in online chat rooms? When people online chatted about these memes voting by texts and such, when these people alleged to be coconspirators online chatting about vote by text, Mr. Mackey was not there.

Mr. Mackey was not there.

But there's more. When these people in these chat rooms testify about voting by text, those memes were not the

same ones that Mr. Mackey shared on Twitter. Mr. Mackey saw
the two memes in this case and shared them after other memes
that already begun going viral days before. Watch the
Government's evidence, watch their presentation very carefully
and watch to see if the Government is trying to force a square
peg into a round hole. If the Government is mixing and
matching things that don't fit.

Here's what I mean. Mr. Mackey posted lots of stuff. Stuff posting. Mr. Mackey understood that memes could go viral, that you could hijack a hashtag and make a meme go viral. Mr. Mackey chimed in about the Photoshopped pop stars in MAGA caps and memes about Hillary Clinton's foreign policy. But there's nothing no the Government's chats that Mr. Mackey did more than see and share the two memes as part of stuff posting, precisely to call attention to himself. Distract from the main conversation, get under the skin of the other side, get the other side off message. That feeling when you haphazardly post a meme and it winds up on cable television.

One witness I expect the Government may call is one of these online alleged coconspirators. Here's something interesting about this witness. He will testify to you without giving you his name. He's going to testify using a pseudonym, his Twitter avatar, I'm not sure. But was known online as Microchip. Mr. Mackey and Mr. Microchip don't know each other. Their only contact with each other was online,

## OPENING STATEMENTS - MR. FRISCH

1 and in the Twittersphere, that's it. To this day, Mr. Mackey

2 and Mr. Microchip have never met. Mr. Mackey will meet

Microchip for the very first time when you do, when Mr.

4 Microchip walks into this courtroom to testify. And you will

5 see at this trial why Mr. Mackey cannot be held responsible,

6 let alone criminally responsible, for what goes on in the mind

7 of a complete stranger on the internet, an anonymous stranger

online.

And this. We all face difficult challenges during the course of our lives. Sometimes challenges arise that are so difficult, so excruciatingly difficult, we are almost paralyzed by the difficulty. We can try putting it of and buying time and more time and hoping it all just goes away. But there comes a time that you cannot put it off any longer. At these defining moments in our lives, the moments that define us forever, for our kids, our families, for ourselves. Some of us have the courage and the confidence and the faith to face the challenge, to stand up, to stand up for ourselves to have the courage and the confidence and the faith that the truth will prevail. Like Doug Mackey is doing right now. Ours, you will see at this trial, lack the courage and the confidence and the faith even to stand up in public and say their name.

In response to these memes, 4,900 -- about 4,900 texts were registered to the number that the Government just

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

told you about. Here's some important things about that. I'm going to stay the first one twice. About 99 percent of the approximate 4,900 texts came after the media began covering the memes. That is after Twitter took the memes down and after the media began reporting about the memes. I'm going to say that again. Approximately 99 percent of the approximate 4,900 texts came after the media began reporting the memes after it became a national news story. Some texted more than once. One of them texted 12 times. Two texts said Hillary Clinton for prison. Some texted just to see what would happen. Not one registered voter saw any of these various memes and failed to vote because of them. And there's no evidence that anyone texted anything as a result of the two specific memes that Mr. Mackey saw and shared that there's no registered voter. His two clicks. Mr. Mackey, when he testifies, will tell you that he last appeared online as Ricky Vaughn in April, 2018 . Five years ago. He last stuff posted in April 2018. Five years ago, when he moved to Florida, where he met and now lives with his wife. When Mr. Mackey stands up to testify, he will tell you that he and his wife are expecting their first child and it's too early to know if it will be a boy or girl. Whatever views Mr. Mackey had seven years ago about anything; about women, or anything else, he will tell you had nothing to do with the two memes , the two clicks.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## OPENING STATEMENTS - MR. FRISCH

36

The Government and I agree about a lot in this case. We have signed stipulations, that is, agreements between us, agreements that Doug Mackey was Ricky Vaughn. There's no dispute of that. Mr. Mackey lived in Manhattan in November 2016, that is, he tweeted as Ricky Vaughn from Manhattan. And not from here, the Eastern District of New York. There's no dispute about it. One of the memes posted late on November 1st expressly said that voters could text to vote, but only seven days later on November 8th, no dispute about that. And you will see on these stipulations, these agreements, the name of this case. It is not United States versus Twitter. It is United States versus social media. are not here to decide whether to regulate speech on the internet or how to regulate speech on the internet consistent with the First Amendment; freedom of speech. We are not here to redo the presidential election and vote for Hillary Clinton or Donald Trump based on new information. The name of this case is United States versus Douglass Mackey . We are here to determine if the Government has the proof to back up its claim that one person, Doug Mackey, is guilty of participating with others in a criminal conspiracy by sharing two memes, two clicks. At criminal trials, prosecutors sometimes refer to

At criminal trials, prosecutors sometimes refer to the defendant and the defendant's lawyer without using their names. The prosecutors may refer to me as the defendant's

## Case 1:21-cr-00080-AMD Document 123 Filed 04/21/23 Page 37 of 218 PageID #: 1753 OPENING STATEMENTS - MR. FRISCH 37 1 lawyers or defense counsel, and that's fine. I am 2 Mr. Mackey's defense counsel and my name doesn't matter. 3 Mr. Mackey is the defendant in this case because the 4 Government charged him and made him the defendant. So every 5 time one of my friends refers to the defendant, please 6 remember that they are referring to Doug Mackey who is innocent unless and until these prosecutors back up their 7 8 charge with proof of his criminal guilt. 9 Behind me are five employees of the Federal 10 Government. At my table it will be me and Mr. Mackey, except 11 when Ms. Tannenbaum, who you met in jury selection does not 12 have class and is able to be here. Mr. Mackey and I cannot 13 compete with their resources or their numbers. The Government 14 will show you charts with bells and whistles that look smooth 15 and sleek. But for Mr. Mackey and me, it's okay that they 16 have more resources and more numbers. It's okay because you 17 will see at this trial that Mr. Mackey and I have the truth. 18 Thank you very much for listening. 19 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Frisch. 20 Are you ready to call your first witness? 21 MR. BUFORD: Yes, your Honor. I'd like to move the 22

podium, if that's all right.

23

24

25

THE COURT: It's fine. Can you do it -- it's fine to have the jury here. It's not going to take that much time, is it?

```
OPENING STATEMENTS - MR. FRISCH
                                                              38
 1
               MR.
                    BUFORD: It won't take that much time.
 2
               THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
 3
                              Your Honor, the Government calls
                    BUFORD:
 4
     Robert McNees.
 5
               (Witness takes the witness stand.)
     ROBERT MC NEES, called as a witness, having been first duly
 6
 7
     sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as follows:
 8
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please, state and spell your
     name for the record.
 9
10
               THE WITNESS: Robert McNees.
11
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you. You may have a
12
     seat.
13
               THE COURT: Okay, Mr. McNees, a couple of things
14
     before we begin. First of all, I just want to make sure that
15
     everybody in the jury box can hear you and everybody in the
16
     courtroom. So make sure you're using the microphone.
17
               Also, please don't speak too quickly. Our court
18
     reporter takes down everything that we say and we don't want
19
     to make their jobs too hard. Kind of along the same lines,
20
     just let which ever lawyers is asking the question finish
21
     before you start talking so you're not talking over each
22
     other. Again, it makes it hard for the court reporter.
23
               If there's something that you don't understand or
     want to have repeated, let me know and I'll instruct the
24
```

lawyers to do that. And just do your best to answer only the

Generally speaking, what do you use your social media

on all of the different servers.

24

ROBERT MC NEES - DIRECT - MR. BUFORD

- 1 account s for?
- 2 A A variety of things. I talk about science and science
- 3 history, this time of year, I talk a lot about basketball, I
- 4 tall about politics, stories in the news. Photos that I've
- 5 taken. My dog.
- 6 Q Does your use of social media vary by account? In other
- 7 | words; do you use certain accounts for some things, other
- 8 accounts for others?
- 9 A Yeah. I, for instance, use Facebook less than the other
- 10 services. And I mostly use it to keep up with family and
- 11 older friends. I tend to be more active on Twitter and
- 12 Mastodon. Instagram, I mostly just post occasional photos of
- 13 Lake Michigan, or my dog, or stuff like that.
- 14 Q You mentioned you had a Twitter account. For how long
- 15 have you had that account?
- 16 A I think I started it in 2008.
- 17 Q Can you tell us, generally, what's your understanding of
- 18 the services that Twitter offers to its users like you?
- 19 A Twitter lets you upload short posts. Then it collects
- 20 them and people can see them.
- 21 Q What kind of content can you post on Twitter? Is it just
- 22 text?
- 23 A You can post text, but you can also attach images or
- videos. It offers other services that I don't use as much,
- 25 like the ability to participate in voice chats, things like

ROBERT MC NEES - DIRECT - MR. BUFORD 41

- 1 that.
- 2 Q Are you familiar with a term followers on Twitter?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q What does that mean, in your experience?
- 5 A Followers are people that have essentially subscribed to
- 6 your account. When you post something, your followers see it.
- 7 Everyone has a timeline and their timeline is, at least one
- 8 of their timelines is made up of posts from people they
- 9 follow. That they're subscribed to.
- 10 Q What do you use Twitter for primarily?
- 11 A Twitter primarily if you're talking about science,
- 12 science history, basketball, sometimes politics. Pictures,
- 13 photos I've taken.
- 14 Q I want to direct your attention now to the fall of 2016.
- Were you using your Twitter account at that time?
- 16 A I was.
- 17 Q What was your Twitter user name or handle at that point?
- 18 A It's the same as my last name, McNees.
- 19 Q Has that user name been the same throughout the time that
- 20 you've had Twitter?
- 21 A My user name, yes.
- 22 Q About how often were you using Twitter in the fall of
- 23 2016?
- 24 A Daily.
- 25 Q Did you have followers on Twitter during that time?

ROBERT MC NEES - DIRECT - MR. BUFORD 42

- 1 A Yes, I did.
- 2 Q Do you recall approximately how many?
- 3 A I don't remember the exact number. More than 1,000
- 4 maybe less than 10,000. I don't recall exactly.
- 5 Q Were you following the presidential election in the fall
- 6 of 2016?
- 7 A Yes, I was.
- 8 Q Did you have a preferred candidate?
- 9 A Yes, I did.
- 10 Q Who was that candidate, at least, in the general
- 11 election?
- 12 A In the general election I was supporting Hillary Clinton.
- 13 Q Did you use Twitter to follow the 2016 election?
- 14 A I did. I followed stories about the election. I looked
- 15 at what campaigns were saying.
- 16 Q Had you observed anything from the Clinton campaign as
- 17 | far as posts or Twitter during the time you were watching the
- 18 election?
- 19 A Yes, I had seen a number of posts from both the candidate
- and from the campaign.
- 21 Q Did there come a time shortly before Election Day
- relating to how people could vote in the 2016 election?
- 23 A Yes, I saw a post suggesting that people could vote by
- 24 texting with their phones.
- 25 Q Did you observe one such image or multiple such images?

THE COURT: Any objection?

```
McNees - Direct - Buford
                                                              44
 1
               MR. FRISCH: No, your Honor.
 2
               THE COURT: Okay. That's in evidence.
 3
               (Government Exhibit 720, was received in evidence.)
               MR. BUFORD: And if the Court will permit...
 5
               THE COURT: Sure. Ladies and gentlemen, I just
 6
     want to tell you that generally with pieces of evidence that
 7
     anything that comes into evidence you will be able to review
     during your deliberations. So it's not going to be a
 8
     one-shot-deal. You will be able to see them once you're back
10
     in the jury room.
11
         Professor McNees, looking first at the top of this
12
     exhibit, there's writing that says Publius Gaius, and an at
13
     sign the Ricky Vaughn.
14
               What did you understand that to mean when you saw
15
     it?
16
          The at Ricky Vaughn is the username associated with the
17
     account. And then the Publius Gaius is a screen name that the
18
     user can select that appears next to their avatar along with
19
     their posts.
20
         Had you ever noticed tweets or posting from this user
21
     before you observed this one?
22
     A I believe that day I had seen some other posts by that
23
     user.
24
          Looking at the image below the username, can you tell us,
25
     generally, what we're looking at here?
```

45

1 A This is an image of a black woman holding an African

2 Americans for Hillary Clinton sign, and there 's texts that

3 says avoid the line, vote from home, text Hillary from 59925.

- Vote for Hillary and be a part of history. There's a, what
- 5 looks like a Hillary Clinton logo on the bottom left corner.
- And then at the bottom, there's a, sort of, fine print text
- 7 that says must be 18 or older to vote. One vote per person.
- 8 Must be a legal citizen of the United States. Voting by text
- 9 not available in Guam, Puerto Rico, Alaska or Hawaii. Paid
- 10 for by Hillary for president 2016.
- 11 Q And looking at the top of the image, there's some writing
- 12 that says number sign I'm with her, and number sign, go
- 13 Hillary.

- What was your understanding of these notations?
- 15 A Those are hashtags on Twitter, which is a way of making
- 16 sure that a post is organized according to a theme that other
- 17 people might use the same hashtag. And when you click on it,
- 18 you can see a timeline of other posts with that hashtag. It's
- 19 a way of making your posts visible of people that might be
- 20 | following a specific issue or topic.
- 21 Q Are hashtags independently searchable on Twitter; in your
- 22 experience?
- 23 A Yes, they are.
- 24 Q Had you seen any of these hashtags before you saw this
- 25 image?

- 1 A Yeah I, had seen I'm with her as, you know, a slogan of
- 2 | the Hillary Clinton campaign, so often attached to posts about
- 3 the candidate. Go Hillary is pretty generic, but I believe I
- 4 had seen that as well.
- 5 Q You testified earlier that your preferred candidate was
- 6 Hillary Clinton; is that right?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Had you seen campaign advertisements or announcements
- 9 from her campaign on Twitter?
- 10 A Yes, I had.
- 11 Q How did this image compare with advertisements that you
- 12 | had seen from the Clinton campaign?
- 13 A I would say it's visually similar. Sort of, the graphic
- 14 entity. You know, the logo is there. It reminded me of them.
- 15 Q Looking at the bottom left of the exhibit, there's a date
- 16 and time there. What did you understand that to be?
- 17 A Posts on Twitter are time stamped. So that would have
- 18 been the date of the post and the time that it was posted.
- 19 I'm not sure in which time zone it refers to.
- 20 Q I believe you testified that you took a screen shot of
- 21 this tweet. Do you recall approximately when you took that
- 22 screen shot?
- 23 A I would say either the afternoon of the 1st or maybe the
- 24 morning of the 2nd. I don't recall which one.
- 25 Q There's also a notation at the bottom which says 36

Case 1:21-cr-00080-AMD Document 123 Filed 04/21/23 Page 47 of 218 PageID #: 1763 McNees - Direct - Buford 47 1 retweets and 65 likes. What did you understand that to mean? 2 A retweet on Twitter is when you see a post and you like 3 to make sure your followers see it. So you click a button 4 that says retweet, and then it is shared with your followers 5 just like your post would be. So when that screen shot was 6 taken it had been retweeted 36 times. The 65 likes, there's 7 also a button that you can click, which is like. Which I 8 don't think directly shares it with your followers, but maybe 9 has an impact on Twitter's algorithm for what appears. And 10 certainly you have a record of the post you liked, so you can 11 go back and see them. 12 I believe you testified that you saw other images similar to this one? 13 14 Yes, I did. 15 MR. BUFORD: I'm going to show for the witness only 16 what's been marked for identification as Government 17 Exhibit 721. 18 (The above-referenced exhibit was published to the 19 witness.) 20 Do you recognize this? 21 Α Yes. 22 Again, without necessarily describing the specific 23 content, can you tell us generally what it is?

THE COURT: I'm going to ask. Do you have any objection to this going in?

24

25

Spanish?

A I do not.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Even without speaking Spanish, did you form an understanding as to the meaning of the content of this image?
- $\mathbb{I}$  A I assuming it was the same content as the previous image.
- 5 MR. BUFORD: Your Honor, if the court will permit 6 we can read a stipulation.

THE COURT: Sure. You don't have to read every word of it, but you can read the substance.

MR. BUFORD: Understood, your Honor. Thank you.

This is marked as Government Exhibit 900 and reads in substantive part. If called as a witness at trial, Francis F. Ontoria, a federally certified court interpreter, employee of the U.S. Attorney's Office, will provide the following translation of the Spanish language statements and the image contained in Government Exhibit 721.

In the upper left of the exhibit, save time, avoid the lines, vote from home or work. In the upper right; let's make history, men and women together, dash H.

In the middle left, send an SMS writing quote Hillary to number 59925 this November 8th.

Bottom, to vote is a requirement to be at least 18 years old. One vote per person. Is required to be a legal citizen of the United States. Text voting is not available in Guam, Puerto Rico, Alaska, or Hawaii, and it is paid for by Hillary -- add paid for by Hillary for president,

```
Case 1:21-cr-00080-AMD Document 123 Filed 04/21/23 Page 50 of 218 PageID #: 1766
                          McNees - Direct - Buford
                                                                 50
  1
      2016.
  2
                It's agreed that the translation above is a true
  3
      and accurate English translation of the above text in
      Government Exhibit 721 and it's signed by the parties.
  5
                              Okay. I think I mentioned in my
                THE COURT:
      opening instructions that one of the types of evidence you'll
  6
  7
      hear is stipulations between the parties. This is one of
      those. Go ahead.
           Similar to the question before, how did this image
  9
 10
      compare to other images that you had observed that you
 11
      understood to have been released by the Clinton campaign?
 12
           It reminded me of the visual that I had seen from the
 13
      campaign. The colors and texts, the general layout, the use
 14
      of the logo. You know, fine print disclaimer text at the
 15
      bottom.
 16
           Did you take a screen shot of this image when you saw it?
 17
           I did.
      Α
 18
           Do you recall approximately when you did that?
 19
           Either the afternoon of the 1st or the morning of the
 20
      2nd.
 21
                MR.
                     BUFORD: I'd like to show for the witness only
 22
      what's been marked for identification as Government
 23
      Exhibit 722.
```

25 MR. FRISCH: I do not object to this.

24

THE COURT: Do you object to this?

```
McNees - Direct - Buford
                                                              51
 1
               THE COURT: This will be 722 in evidence.
 2
               (Government Exhibit 722, was received in evidence.)
 3
          Looking at the top of this exhibit, can you help orient
     us to what we're looking at here?
 5
                This is a tweet by a different account. At
 6
     Nia4_Trump that has been retweeted by the at Ricky Vaughn
 7
     account.
          What is the username of the Nia user?
          @NIA4_Trump.
          And there's text there that begins with the at sign the
10
11
     Ricky Vaughn. Can you read that for us?
12
          At the Ricky Vaughn, thanks for spreading the word.
13
     Hashtag MAGA, hashtag I'm with her, hashtag vote. Hillary
14
     from home, save time and avoid the line.
15
          And can you read for us the fine print at the bottom of
16
     the image?
17
          Yes. It says must be 18 or older to vote. One vote per
18
              Must be a legal citizen of the United States. Vote
19
     by text not available in Guam, Puerto Rico, Alaska or Hawaii.
20
     Paid for by Hillary for president 2016.
21
          If we -- what's your understanding of a retweet?
22
          A retweet is when you see a post by another user and you
23
```

want to share it with the people that follow your account. So you click a button that says retweet and then it appears in their timeline as if a post by you would appear in their

24

- 1 timelines.
- 2 Q Just to understood the sequence. What's your
- 3 understanding of who originally tweeted this image out in
- 4 this exchange?
- 5 A In this exchange, it looks like it was tweeted by the at
- 6 Nia for Trump account. And the at Ricky Vaughn at the
- 7 beginning of the tweet is tagging the at the Ricky Vaughn
- 8 account so they would see it. And then at the Ricky Vaughn
- 9 retweeted the post.
- 10 Q When you say tag, what do you mean by that?
- 11 A Including the username with the at sign in the post, the
- 12 person who is mentioned gets a notification from Twitter that
- 13 they have been mentioned. If it begins with the username like
- 14 | it does here, at the Ricky Vaughn, then only people that
- 15 follow both accounts would see it at first. And then once
- 16 it's retweeted, everyone that follows the at the Ricky Vaughn
- 17 account would see it.
- 18 Q And there are three hashtags in this text exchange; is
- 19 that correct?
- 20 A That's correct.
- 21 Q Those are number sign MAGA, number sign I'm with her, and
- 22 | number sign vote; is that correct?
- 23 A Yes, that's correct.
- 24 Q There's some numbers at the very bottom of the image that
- 25 say 109 and 139. What's your understanding of those numbers?

53

1 A The 109 next to that symbol meant that it had been

- 2 | retweeted 109 times. The graphics and interface used by
- 3 Twitter changed over the years, but at the time I think that
- 4 was the sign they used for retweets. The 139 is the number of
- 5 Itimes it had been liked. It's a non-standard icon because I
- 6 had modified my computer to display it differently.
- 7 Q But your understanding is that represented likes?
- 8 A That's correct. 139 likes.
- 9 Q And similar to the other question. How did this image
- 10 compare with other images that you observed on Twitter that
- 11 you believe to be put out by the Clinton campaign?
- 12 A You know, it was the same visual colors, text layout,
- picture of the candidate, has the logo on the bottom right
- 14 corner. Has the sort of disclaimer text that I had seen from
- other images released by the campaign. Paid for by Hillary
- 16 for president, 2016.
- 17 Q Did you take a screen shot of that when you saw it?
- 18 A I did.
- 19 Q Do you recall approximately when?
- 20 A Either the afternoon of the 1st or the morning of the
- 21 2nd.
- 22 Q Professor McNees, what, if anything, did you do in
- 23 response to seeing these images?
- 24 A I collected some of them and submitted a report to
- 25 Twitter. And then, I also posted about it suggesting that

(Government Exhibit 727R, was received in evidence.)

- 1 Q Professor, McNees, can you tell us, generally, is this a
- 2 tweet that you sent out?
- 3 A Yes, it is.
- 4  $\mathbb{Q}$  When did you send the tweet out?
- 5 A It looks like I sent it out on November 8, 2016.
- 6 Q We'll talk about your message in a moment, but does the
- 7 | tweet consist of two images that you captured and included in
- 8 the tweet?
- 9 A It does. There's text and then two images that I
- 10 attached to the tweet.
- 11 Q Looking first at the image on the left, does this appear
- 12 to be substantially the same image as the one we looked at in
- 13 Government Exhibit 722?
- 14 A That is right. It's the same image shared by a different
- 15 account.
- 16 Q Is there a hashtag associated with the image?
- 17 A There is. There's a hashtag Election Day.
- 18 Q Now looking at the image on the right, what is this?
- 19 A That is a tweet by another account that has either
- 20 attached a screen shot of a tweet by the at the Ricky Vaughn,
- 21 or it might be a quote tweet, which is an option. It's like a
- 22 retweet, but it lets you attach your own text above the tweet.
- 23 Q And there's an at sign Hillary Clinton. Do you see that?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q What's your understanding of what that meant?

- 1 A That would be tagging Hillary Clinton's Twitter. The
- 2 account.
- 3 Q And there 's text underneath that. What does that say?
- 4 A It says don't forget to vote tomorrow. Avoid long lines,
- 5 just text your vote for Hillary. Only one vote per phone.
- 6 Hashtag, looks like it's supposed be I'm with her, but they
- 7 left an H out. So I'm wit her.
- 8 Q Underneath that, is there what looks to be another tweet?
- 9 A There is.
- 10 Q Within the same image on the right, sorry. What's your
- 11 understanding of this?
- 12 A Yes. I'm having a hard time -- the text is a little
- 13 blurry. Looks like it was tweeted by someone with a screen
- 14 | name -- sorry, this one. It likes like it's a tweet by
- 15 someone with the screen name Fountainhead. The text says
- 16 Hillary voters get to vote text via text, but Trump supports
- 17 have to go to the polls, not fair. Hashtag I'm with her,
- 18 hashtag Hillary. And then there is another image it says vote
- 19 early, text Hillary to 59925 today. The blue background with
- 20 stars and a black and white photo of Hillary Clinton on the
- 21 right. And the bottom left corner there's a Hillary Clinton
- 22 logo and is it says in larger text than before, paid for by
- 23 Hillary for president. And then there's smaller disclaimer
- 24 text underneath that I can't read.
- 25 (Continued on the next page.)

We're talking, as far as you can recall, we are talking

some time no later than 6:00 p.m. on November 1st?

I don't recall the exact time.

24

25

anything you to.

R. MC NEES - CROSS - MR. FRISCH 60 1 My specific question is: Does looking at this 2 specific document refresh your recollection that you received 3 a response to your complaint that you received a response from Twitter on November 1, 2016, at about 5:14 p.m.? 5 Yeah, I received an acknowledgement that I had submitted 6 a report. 7 And the acknowledgement was 5:14 p.m., correct? 8 Yes, that's what it looks like. And the meme we just saw a moment ago, which I think was 9 10 720, you testified on direct that that was -- that that had a 11 timestamp on it of 4:34 p.m. November 1st, correct? 12 Yes, that's correct. 13 So you complained to Twitter about that meme within an 14 hour of its posting as far as you can tell, right? 15 Yes. 16 MR. FRISCH: Ms. Pershad, if you can call up 727. 17 I may have asked you to call up the wrong number. 18 apologize. Okay. We'll take that down -- thank you --19 anyhow. I will go back and correct the numbers. 20 Even after Twitter acknowledged your complaint on 21 November 1, 2016, at about 5:14 p.m., you saw memes a week 22 later on Election Day November 8, 2016, correct? 23 Yeah, I think so. 24 Is it your testimony that you saw a meme on November 25 that had that avatar for Ricky Vaughn, is that your testimony?

R. MC NEES - CROSS - MR. FRISCH 62

- 1 Q Had you --
- Were there memes of this sort about voting by text?
- 3 A No, I had seen other posts.
- 4 Q And when you saw those other posts, did you look for
- 5 other things that he had posted?
- 6 A I think I just saw the user's timeline which had other
- 7 things they were posting.
- 8 Q And is that how you came to see the meme that we just
- 9 looked at, Government Exhibit 720?
- 10 A I believe it is.
- 11 Q So, in other words, you saw it because you were looking
- 12 for things posted by Ricky Vaughn; is that right?
- 13 A I was looking at things posted by Ricky Vaughn.
- 14 Q Now, other than seeing this post on November 1, 2016,
- previously, had you seen other memes about vote to text on
- 16 Twitter?
- 17 A I don't recall.
- 18 Q But you might have?
- 19 A I don't know.
- 20 Q Do you recall that you reported memes other than the ones
- 21 about which you testified here today?
- 22 A I don't recall what else I reported.
- 23 Q In any event, it appeared to you that the memes we've
- 24 looked at were an intentional impersonation of the Clinton
- campaign; is that your testimony, correct?

- 1 A That's what it looked like.
- 2 Q Have there been occasions where you have used your
- 3 Twitter account to report violations of other types of rules?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Have you done that once or more than once?
- 6 A More than once.
- 7 Q More than a dozen times?
- 8 A Possibly.
- 9 Q So let me show you what I've marked before I do that who
- 10 is Jabari Parker, J-a-b-a-r-i.
- 11 A I don't recall.
- 12 Q Let me show what you I've marked for identification as
- 13 Douglass Mackey Exhibit 1 for your eyes only and ask if it
- 14 refreshes your recollection, if you've seen it before.
- MR. BUFORD: Your Honor, I don't know if the witness
- 16 can see it. We can't see it here.
- 17 THE COURT: We're working on it.
- 18 It's not our home courtroom.
- MR. BUFORD: Understood.
- 20 Q The only question I have right now is: Can you see that
- 21 on your screen?
- 22 A I can now, yes.
- Q Okay. Do you recognize that as a something you posted on
- 24 Twitter?
- 25 A Looks like my account.

## Case 1:21-cr-00080-AMD Document 123 Filed 04/21/23 Page 64 of 218 PageID #: 1780 R. MC NEES - CROSS - MR. FRISCH 64 1 MR. FRISCH: I offer it. 2 THE COURT: Any objection? 3 MR. BUFORD: No objection, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: All right. That will be is that 5 Defendant's 1. 6 MR. FRISCH: Yes, Your Honor it is. 7 THE COURT: That's in evidence. 8 MR. FRISCH: Thank you. (Defendant's Exhibit 1 was marked in evidence as of 9 10 this date.) 11 So this is something you posted on Twitter this Mackey 12 Exhibit 1, correct? 1.3 It looks like it, yes. 14 That's your name on top, Robert McNees? 15 Α Yes. 16 Is that a picture of one of your dogs in the past? 17 It's a picture of my current dog. 18 Your current dog. 19 And it says, "Due to Jabari Parker endorsing a 20 product in violation of NCAA rules." 2.1 Α Yes. 22 Do you see that? 23 Α Yes. 24 And the NCAA is the organization that makes rules for 25 college basketball?

## Case 1:21-cr-00080-AMD Document 123 Filed 04/21/23 Page 66 of 218 PageID #: 1782 SIDEBAR CONFERENCE 66 1 (Sidebar conference.) 2 THE COURT: What's your objection? 3 MR. BUFORD: So this particular post, your Honor, it 4 appears to be a reference to NCAA rule violations as opposed 5 to Twitter rules violations. 6 I think the fact that he may have reported other 7 Twitter violations to Twitter may have some relevance, but the 8 fact that he is commenting on a possible NCAA rules violation seems of no relevance to us. 9 10 THE COURT: I must say to escapes me. MR. FRISCH: So there's a number of these that he's 11 12 admitted to and I'm not going to go into all of them that we 1.3 found. But I want to make the point that the professor is 14 somebody who has a tendency to -- it's that somebody who --15 he's put his credibility on and the Government's witness 16 credibility in play putting in his opinion of what's a 17 violation and what's intentional impersonation, what should be 18 taken down. 19 What I want to do is show another one of these, 20 finish this, not beyond this one, to point out that this is 21 something he does generally and he doesn't just see this Ricky 22 Vaughn thing and say Oh, my God this is a violation. I want

to put it in some perspective. THE COURT: That doesn't -- I'll permit it.

23

24

25

Let me say something, though, because I forgot to

## SIDEBAR CONFERENCE

tell you guys all this before you started.

So if you don't object, or you don't object to something, I prefer to save the all this fascinating foundation questions. I mean, just because we can move it along a little faster but I don't want to put you on the spot that way.

MR. FRISCH: I also don't want to object and say "no objection."

THE COURT: We can save a little time that way.

And the second thing is that, it's not a big deal yet, just for future, and it has nothing — it's not a big deal, but if you have a lot of documents, I'm not a big fan of reading every single word in the document. Maybe you weren't even planning on doing that but I usually tell people that before because if the document's in evidence, you'll be able to use it for whatever reason you want and that's the end of my lecture.

Go ahead.

MR. BUFORD: Your Honor, I just want to renew my objection to the specific exhibit because, again, I think he's commenting not on Twitter rules but on NCAA rules. It's sports comment, there's no relevance.

THE COURT: It's not particularly relevant. I also don't think it's prejudicial.

Do you have something else that's a complaint to

In fact, your post of Twitter's response to your

```
Case 1:21-cr-00080-AMD Document 123 Filed 04/21/23 Page 72 of 218 PageID #: 1788
                     R. MC NEES - RECROSS - MR. FRISCH
                                                                  72.
  1
           How did you hear that from Jack Dorsey?
      Q
  2
           He directly responded to my tweet.
  3
           I'd like to show for identification what's been marked as
  4
      Government Exhibit 726.
  5
                MR. BUFORD: It's for the witness only unless
  6
      there's an objection?
  7
                MR. FRISCH: There's no objection.
                 THE COURT: That will be 726 in evidence.
  8
                 (Government Exhibit 726, was received in evidence.)
  9
 10
           Professor McNees, looking at the top, is this Jack
 11
      Dorsey's response to you?
 12
           Yes, it is.
 1.3
           And in the second row, is that another response from Jack
 14
      Dorsey?
 15
           Yes, it is.
           In response to your other complaints to Twitter had you
 16
 17
      ever received a personal message from Jack Dorsey?
 18
           Not that I recall.
 19
                MR. BUFORD: No further questions, your Honor.
 20
                 THE COURT: Any re-cross?
 21
                MR. FRISCH: One question.
 22
                 THE WITNESS: Can I ask it from here?
 23
                 THE COURT: Of course.
 24
      RECROSS-EXAMINATION
 25
      BY MR. FRISCH:
```

Official Court Reporter

We are an organization dedicated to understanding and

I'm the Chief of Moonshot Strategies for an organization

22

23

24

25

called Equis.

What Equis?

- J. ROCKETTO DIRECT MR. GULLOTTA 76 1 increasing the Latino vote and Latino influences belonging in 2. the United States. 3 What do you do as Chief of Moonshot Strategies? 4 It's a fun title. It means that I run a portfolio that 5 works to build a bunch of different programs that helps 6 increase voter participation and increase influence and 7 belonging the way Latinos see themselves. 8 How long have you been at Equis? 9 I started there officially in January of last year and I 10 had a consulting agreement with them before that. 11 For whom did you work in 2016? 12 Hilary for America. 1.3 What is Hillary for America? 14 Hilary Clinton's presidential campaign. 15 THE COURT: I'm going to ask you to bear in mind to 16 slow down a little bit, okay? 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. 18 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. 19 Where was the office located where you worked for Hillary 20 for America? 2.1 In Brooklyn, just across the street. 22 What did you do for the campaign?
- 23 I was the digital organizing director.
- 24 And can you summarize for the jury your responsibilities
- 25 as the digital organizing director?

J. ROCKETTO - DIRECT - MR. GULLOTTA 77 1 Yes, so I worked in our Brooklyn headquarters on our 2 digital team. I had a very large portfolio. So sort of, in a 3 simple terms as I can, one part of my job was our state 4 digital program. So we had a staff who worked in states and 5 ran digital programs there. So I helped manage and hire all 6 those staff. The second is what we would have called constituency 7 8 programs. So Latinos for Hillary, Women for Hillary, or Veterans for Hillary. We had programs designed to speak 9 10 directly to those types of voters. And, of course, also 11 visualize those shall types of supporters who are very 12 excited. And so, I managed the programs kind of associated with that. And then also, anything kind of related to 1.3 14 organizing and technology. So organizing is about getting 15 volunteers and supporters to engage with the campaign. 16 So donate, make phone calls, and, of course, vote. 17 Our technology work included lots of different tools we made 18 many, many different tools. One of the pieces that was a very 19 large part of that portfolio was our SMS program. 20 THE COURT: SMS? 21 THE WITNESS: SMS is text messages. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 Thank you. 24 Did the campaign use SMS or text technology in the 25 areas of voter protection, voter information?

- J. ROCKETTO DIRECT MR. GULLOTTA 78
- 1 A Yes. So that last portfolio, some of the tools that we
- 2 did were related to voter protection and voting protection was
- 3 also as to pertains to the internet and technology it was also
- 4 in any portfolio. SMS text message was a huge part of the
- 5 campaign and the work that we did. It was a major strategy
- 6 that we used and text is an immediate form of communication.
- 7 You send a message to gets right into somebody's inbox, is has
- 8 a very high read rate. 99 percent of text messages are
- 9 received and read.
- So, as you can imagine, we are trying to talk to
- 11 voters immediately, text message is very important. That way
- 12 it was very important for our Get Out the Vote strategy or
- 13 GOTV which is the end of the election. And, of course, any
- 14 Get Out the Vote Strategy includes voter protection, SMS was a
- 15 part of that.
- 16 Q Thank you.
- Did you find that many people had questions about
- 18 voting, the mechanics of voting, how to do it in 2016?
- 19 A Yes. So, on our digital voter hotline, which included
- 20 social media as well as text, we answered over 600,000 voter
- 21 protection questions.
- 22 Q And what were some of most common questions during the
- lead up to the election?
- MR. FRISCH: Objection.
- THE COURT: I'll sustain it as to form.

So one of the things that we would do is people who

25

Yes.

- J. ROCKETTO DIRECT MR. GULLOTTA 80 1 use the vote keyword would get kind of a series of messages. 2 We would say a conversation that enabled them to ask any 3 questions they had and then for us also to give them important voting information. 5 Okay. What's a keyword? 6 So, for our purposes, the SMS list was a broadcast 7 SMS list. That means that you --8 THE COURT: So sorry to interrupt you. 9 Could you just -- if you could just tell us what a 10 keyword is first, and then if counsel needs to ask you some 11 more background information he'll do it. It makes it easier, 12 okay? 1.3 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Great. Go ahead. 15 A keyword is a message or is a, sorry, it's a little bit 16 hard to explain but I'm explaining around. 17 When you are opting into a list, you send a message. 18 The message that you send to opt in is a keyword. So "Vote 19 Hillary, " and you send it to our short code, so our phone 20 number. 21 Okay. I was just going to ask you that what's a short 22 code? 23
  - Yes. So a short code is think of it like your phone number but it's a little bit different than a phone number.

```
J. ROCKETTO - DIRECT - MR. GULLOTTA
                                                      81
 1
     but you are text.
 2
     Q Do you remember if Hillary for America used a particular
 3
     short code?
 4
     A Yes, we have a unique short code which is very important
 5
     that way.
 6
              THE COURT: What is it? Do you remember what it
 7
     was?
 8
              THE WITNESS: Yes, 47246.
 9
              MR. GULLOTTA: Thank you.
10
              (Continued on the next page.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

- J. ROCKETTO DIRECT MR. GULLOTTA 82
- 1 BY MR. GULLOTTA:
- 2 Q So if someone texted a keyword to that short code, that
- 3 was how you're describing a person would opt in?
- 4 A Yes, you have to opt in. So we can't send you any
- 5 messages unless you opt in, you send a keyword that opts you
- 6 in. So not everything opts you in, keywords opts you in, and
- 7 | that enables us to send you messages. You the person who is
- 8 trying to opt in would send the message to 47246.
- 9 Q If the person had not yet opted in, how would a person
- 10 know what number to send a keyword to and what keyword to use?
- 11 A Probably they wouldn't. It's very important that you
- only send messages to people who opt in. So one of the ways
- 13 that you find out is we would tell people, text keyword to
- 14 | 47246. We're constantly asking people, we constantly wanted
- 15 people to opt in.
- 16 O How would you do that or share that message where?
- 17 A Lots of different ways, events, campaign, social media
- 18 channels, Facebook and Twitter, on our website, sometimes
- 19 candidates or surrogates would say it out loud, basically
- 20 anywhere we could possibly say it because we wanted as many
- 21 people to opt in as possible.
- 22 Q During the course of the campaign how many people
- 23 subscribed to receive text messages?
- 24 A We had over a million subscribers.
- 25 Q And the campaign used multiple keywords?

	J. ROCKETTO - DIRECT - MR. GULLOTTA 83
1	A A lot of different keywords. It's a long campaign, but
2	also when you send a keyword that groups you within a specific
3	set of information. Vote is voting information. Debate would
4	be like getting messages about debate and debate performance.
5	Q Did the campaign use electronic software to sort of
6	organize the responses to these keywords?
7	A Yes. So we had a vendor, Mobile Commons, and we were
8	able to send all of our messages via Mobile Commons.
9	Q Would Mobile Commons log into the software and determine
10	what the outgoing message would be or is that something the
11	campaign did?
12	A The campaign determined all messages. You have to go
13	through a lengthy approval process. And there were people who
14	worked on the campaign team, so I ran the SMS program and the
15	day-to-day person. The person would log in, and literally
16	send the messages was Lloyd Cotler. Lloyd was a campaign
17	embed from Mobile Commons.
18	Q In your experience with the campaign, how affective and
19	important was the SMS or the text message program?
20	MR. FRISCH: Objection.
21	THE COURT: Overruled.
22	Was that an important part of the campaign?
23	THE WITNESS: Yes, definitely, it was a major
24	strategy that we used.
25	Q Why is that?

J. ROCKETTO - DIRECT - MR. GULLOTTA 84

1 A Actually it was a lot about the type of communication and

2 the voters. We were extremely interested in engaging with

3 voters who used mobile technology. African American and

4 Latino voters are more likely to use text message than anyone

else. Also younger voters love text message, that's the

6 primary way that they communicate.

But not only that, as I said, text is an immediate form of communication. So unlike say e-mail or social media or websites, which may or may not show up in your inbox, you may or may not see it in your feed, text messages immediately are delivered to your phone and people look at their phones all the time all day.

- Q Okay. Thank you. During the course of the 2016 campaign, did you learn there were fake graphics going around and informing people they could text their vote?
- 16 A Yes.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

- Q Do you remember approximately when you learned about that?
- 19 A It was definitely at the very end during the GOTV period.
- 20 In my recollection very, very close to what we would call GOTV
- 21 weekend, the kind of like final days of when voting is heavy.
- 22 Q How did you learn about it?
- A I don't remember the exact first moment. But I do
  remember being, seeing the graphics and having conversations
- about those graphics with my employees and with my superiors.

- J. ROCKETTO DIRECT MR. GULLOTTA 85
- 1 Q Do you recall what the graphics looked like?
- 2 A Yes. One of them -- there were multiple graphics. One
- 3 of them was African American woman. It said you could text
- 4 your vote for Hillary. And then another one was in Spanish
- 5 | with a Latina looking woman. It also said that you could
- 6 text, specifically, it said you could text to vote.
- 7 Q I'd like to show what you is previously admitted and
- 8 marked as Government Exhibit 720. Do you recognize this image
- 9 on your screen?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Is this one of the graphics that you just described that
- 12 you saw?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q What did you think when you saw this graphic?
- 15 A It's a very, like sneaky, graphic. It's designed to look
- 16 like it came from the campaign, but it's not from the
- 17 campaign.
- 18 Q What about it makes it look like it came from the
- 19 campaign?
- 20 A There is a lot of different things actually, which is one
- of the reasons why I would say that it's sneaky.
- 22 THE COURT: Could I see the parties at the side with
- 23 the court reporter for a second.
- 24 (Continued on the next page.)

MORAL - DIRECT - MR. GULLOTTA 87 1 (In open court.) 2 THE COURT: Sorry for the interruption. Go ahead. 3 MR. GULLOTTA: Thank you, your Honor. 4 BY MR. GULLOTTA: 5 Looking at Government Exhibit 720, is there a hashtag 6 towards the top, above the image? 7 Yes. 8 Do you recognize that hashtag? Yes: I'm with her. 9 10 Is that one of the ones that the campaign used? 11 Yes, that was like our official hashtag. That's what we 12 used on every communication, almost like a joke, everyone was 13 saying: I'm with her. 14 Thank you. If we can see the full exhibit again. 15 there anything about the colors used in this image that make 16 it look similar to what the campaign was using? 17 There are -- this is designed to look like what we Yes. 18 did. This sort of like box style with the red was very 19 reminiscent of campaign imagery. 20 Down on the bottom left is a logo. Is that the logo that 21 the campaign used or does it resemble it? 22 It's designed to look like the campaign's logo. I would 23 say a really good copy of it. It's not exactly right, but I

only know that because I looked at it for like two years

24

25

straight.

ase 1:	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 123 Filed 04/21/23 Page 88 of 218 PageID #: 1804
	MORAL - DIRECT - MR. GULLOTTA 88
1	Q Thank you. Are there any logos other than that in this
2	image that are reminiscent of campaign logos?
3	A Yes. In the picture, that picture it's not exactly the
4	logo, but I think, again I know that only because I spent a
5	lot of time talking about and looking and working with logos,
6	but that is designed to look like the 2008 Hillary logo.
7	Q How about the font? Does the font resemble the fonts
8	used by the campaign?
9	A Yes. It looks it's again not exact, but I don't think
10	anyone else would know that that didn't work on it. That's
11	designed to look like the way we did our fonts.
12	Q I see on the bottom there is a disclaimer, is that
13	something that the campaign would use?
14	A So this is one of the things that is most confusing about
15	this image and also what really
16	MR. FRISCH: Objection.
17	THE COURT: I think the question is: Is that
18	something the campaign would use?
19	Is that the question?
20	MR. GULLOTTA: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Is that the kind of thing that the
22	campaign or that kind of disclaimer would be used in

campaign or that kind of disclaimer would be used in communications that were from the campaign?

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: Well, you only use something like this when it's a paid ad.

	MORAL - DIRECT - MR. GULLOTTA 89
1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	THE WITNESS: That's not an accurate disclaimer.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	THE WITNESS: There are regulations associated with
5	disclaimers. Most notably I would say, all disclaimers must
6	be inside a box and they must have a certain font size. This
7	is not that size.
8	And the reason I said it's confusing is social media
9	graphics do not need disclaimers. It's not an ad. So this
10	is, it says it's paid for by Hillary For President 2016 or
11	2015, but that's not the name we would have used in the
12	disclaimer.
13	Again I think the only way you know this is if you
14	have to deal with this. Most people don't have to deal with
15	this.
16	THE COURT: That's the question. Forgetting about
17	the details of this particular one, is this the kind of
18	thing forget about whether this is whether the right
19	language is this the kind of disclaimer that a legitimate
20	ad might have, depending on if it met all of those
21	requirements that you said?
22	THE WITNESS: It's definitely designed to look very
23	close to a legitimate ad.
24	THE COURT: Okay, next question.
25	BY MR. GULLOTTA:

MORAL - DIRECT - MR. GULLOTTA

- 1 Q Can we take a look at Government Exhibit 721, which was
- 2 previously admitted. Do you recognize this image?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Is this one of the graphics you saw during the campaign?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Again, can you describe whether the colors or the font or
- 7 any of the iconography that you thought resembled the
- 8 campaign's true design image?
- 9 A Again, the colors are close to our colors. That kind of
- 10 box style with the text inside, we were doing that a lot.
- 11 That's close to our logo. And of course, the only people who
- 12 put the logo on stuff was the campaign. And then this text in
- 13 the right is, it says 'dash H', that's how we signed text
- 14 | messages from Hillary throughout the campaign. The 'dash H'
- 15 that meant it was a message from Hillary. Obviously we saved
- 16 those for special times messages from her.
- 17 Q You take a look at Government Exhibit 722. Do you
- 18 | recognize this image?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Is that another one that you saw during the same time?
- 21 A Yes. This is the one that is most jarring to me. It
- 22 looks, this is really, really designed to look like a campaign
- 23 image.
- 24 Q Okay. Can we put 720 back up? After you saw these
- 25 | images, what was your reaction to them?

MORAL - DIRECT - MR. GULLOTTA

- 1 A This is -- there are tons of red flags to me. Of course
- 2 the number one biggest red flag is you can't text to vote.
- 3 You can't do that.
- 4 Q Did it appear that these graphics were designed to reach
- 5 certain groups?
- 6 A Yes, I mean, on this image the African American part, the
- 7 picture is of a black woman. On the other image in Spanish,
- 8 two Spanish speakers, with the picture of a person who looks
- 9 to be Latina. That looks like you're talking to black voters
- 10 and to Spanish speaking or Latina voters.
- 11 Q What did you do after you saw these?
- 12 A So we were -- we had a conversation, Lloyd and I, had a
- 13 conversation about the images. And you have to make a
- 14 decision about what to do about something like this, it's our
- 15 job to respond to things like this. In our case, we decided
- 16 to take it up to our bosses, Jenna and Teddy.
- 17 Q Why did you do that?
- 18 A Well, lots of -- during GOTV there are so many
- 19 incidences. In this case, this felt like a very important
- 20 incident, something that we wanted to be sure that we flagged
- 21 early. And something that we wanted to be sure we made people
- 22 aware of, particularly because it's very confusing and you
- 23 cannot text to vote. Our job is to get people to vote. So
- 24 there is something that appears to be telling people that they
- are allowed to do an act that they cannot do, that's a big

MORAL - DIRECT - MR. GULLOTTA

- 1 concern to us.
- 2 Q So I imagine at this, especially at this point in the
- 3 campaign, there are a lot of issues coming up that require
- 4 your attention. Do you always bring them to Jenna and Teddy,
- 5 to your bosses?
- 6 MR. FRISCH: Objection.
- 7 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 8 A Definitely not. During GOTV it's a fire hose, so much
- 9 information is coming at you, there are a lot of problems.
- 10 Just like with any job, I think if you're taking something to
- 11 | your boss, you better make sure it's a big deal and it's
- 12 something you care about it. This is not only incidents of
- 13 voting suppression that we encountered during that time, but
- 14 this was one that we felt, like, it was very important to
- 15 bring up to them.
- 16 O In looking at these images, did the campaign produce
- 17 images like this, obviously not exactly like this, but did the
- 18 campaign produce graphics that contained information that
- 19 voters could use to prepare for the election?
- 20 A Yes, very often.
- 21 Q After you spoke with your supervisors, did the campaign
- 22 take additional action to respond to these?
- 23 A Yes. When we spoke to Teddy and Jenna, our bosses, we
- 24 came up with a game plan. So one of the things that we did
- 25 was to bring in the comms team and to make sure that the comms

THE COURT: Next question.

24

25

BY MR. GULLOTTA:

THE WITNESS: No, not a joke; not funny; not a

24

25

like that?

Case 1:	:21-cr-00080-AMD Document 123 Filed 04/21/23 Page 95 of 218 PageID #: 1811
	J. MORAL - CROSS - MR. FRISCH 95
1	parody.
2	THE COURT: Next question.
3	MR. GULLOTTA: Can I have a moment, your Honor?
4	THE COURT: Sure.
5	MR. GULLOTTA: No further questions.
6	THE COURT: Cross-examination.
7	MR. FRISCH: I have one question, may I stand here?
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. FRISCH:
11	Q Do you recall that Mr. Cotler first took action about
12	what we've seen or the types things that we've seen on
13	October 29, 2016? Do you recall that was the date when he
14	first took action?
15	A I don't.
16	MR. FRISCH: I have nothing else. Thank you, Judge.
17	THE COURT: Anything else?
18	MR. GULLOTTA: Nothing further.
19	THE COURT: You can step down.
20	(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
21	THE COURT: I think we can get another witness in
22	here.
23	MR. GULLOTTA: The Government calls Lloyd Cotler.
24	(Witness takes the witness stand.)
25	LLOYD COTLER, called as a witness, having been first duly

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Who is your employer?
- 3 A Self-employed.
- 4 Q What do you do for a living?
- 5 A I'm a text message marketing consultant.
- 6 Q How long have you been a text messaging marketing
- 7 consultant instants?
- 8 A I've been a consultant on my own for three years. I've
- 9 been in the text message marketing industry for 13.
- 10 Q For whom did you work in 2016?
- 11 A For the early part of 2016 I worked for Upland Mobile
- 12 Commons, then for the Hillary campaign starting in the spring
- 13 of 2016.
- 14 Q Where was your office with the campaign?
- 15 A Right across the street, here in downtown Brooklyn.
- 16 Q Were you also living in Brooklyn at the time?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q What did you do for the campaign?
- 19 A I was the SMS campaign manager.
- 20 Q Can you describe your responsibility as a SMS campaign
- 21 manager?
- 22 A Sure. I did everything that fell under text message
- 23 marketing, so organizing, fundraising, voter contact,
- 24 engagement, rapid response. All the kind of things the
- 25 campaign does, just by text message.

- 1 Q Did the campaign communicate with supporters about voting
- 2 information by text message?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Did the campaign post calls to action to get people to
- 5 opt in to their short code?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Where would the campaign post those calls to action?
- 8 A Everywhere, the principles would speak it out loud at
- 9 rallies or events. We did a lot of social media acquisition,
- 10 e-mail, in-person events, everywhere basically.
- 11 Q Including Twitter?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q What company provided the text message software platform
- 14 for the campaign?
- 15 A Upland Software.
- 16 Q That's where you used to work?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Who on the campaign was responsible for communicating
- 19 with people who opted in to the SMS message campaign?
- 20 A Me, I guess. I drafted the messages, I managed the
- 21 platform. There were other layers of approval, stuff like
- 22 that, but me primarily.
- 23 Q Would you decide which keywords to use, things like that?
- 24 A I would propose, I would propose them, they would get
- 25 approved; but, yes.

- 1 Q Then did you decide on what language, what message would
- 2 be sent out to someone who texted in a particular keyword?
- 3 A Again yes, I would draft them, they would go through
- 4 several layers of approval, I would set it up.
- 5 Q Did the campaign use particular hashtags?
- 6 A On Twitter do you mean?
- 7 Q On social media.
- 8 A Probably. I didn't have any hand in writing tweets or
- 9 anything like that. I assume, yes, I assume so, yes.
- 10 Q Thank you. In the lead-up to the election, did you learn
- 11 about a text-to-vote graphic going around social media?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q How did you learn about it?
- 14 A I don't remember exactly. I don't remember exactly how
- 15 it came to my attention.
- 16 O Did you see it yourself, any of these graphics?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q What do you recall about the graphics that you saw?
- 19 A The two in particular I remember, one was a graphic of an
- 20 African American woman with a text-your-vote for Hillary call
- 21 to action on it. And one was in Spanish, with the same kind
- 22 of language but in Spanish.
- 23 Q Did the graphics in these images resemble campaign
- 24 graphics?
- 25 A They were -- they -- yes, they looked like campaign --

25

text-to-vote graphics?

- 1 A I don't remember exactly, I presume in the office. It
- 2 was a week before the election, I was in the office for quite
- 3 a bit.
- 4 Q What did you do after seeing them? Did you bring them to
- 5 anyone's attention?
- 6 A I don't remember exactly. I'm sure I would have flagged
- 7 them for my boss, my boss's boss. Then I contacted our rep in
- 8 Upland Software about it as well.
- 9 Q Who was the rep?
- 10 A Ty Chesley.
- 11 Q What did you ask Mr. Chesley to do?
- 12 A I asked him to get in touch with the vendor who operated
- 13 the short code that the fake messages were purporting to be
- 14 on, to have that removed and have some action taken.
- 15 Q Okay. So you were able to identify who was actually the
- 16 owner or the user of that short code?
- 17 A I was able to identify the -- in 2016 you were allowed to
- 18 | share short codes among multiple entities. So I wasn't able
- 19 to identify the lessee or the -- that particular account, but
- 20 I was able to identify the software that had leased the short
- 21 code on behalf of multiple clients.
- Q Who was that?
- 23 A A company iVision Mobile.
- 24 Q After you contacted Mr. Chesley at Upland, do you know if
- 25 they took any steps to address these fake graphics?

LLOYD COTLER - CROSS - MR. FRISCH

- 1 A They told me that they contacted iVision. I wasn't part
- 2 of any of those conversations, but they did tell me they were
- 3 | in contact with iVision about it.
- 4 MR. GULLOTTA: Can I have a moment, your Honor?
- 5 THE COURT: Sure.
- 6 MR. GULLOTTA: No further questions.
- 7 THE COURT: Any cross-examination?
- 8 MR. FRISCH: Thank you.
- 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MR. FRISCH:
- 11 Q Mr. Cotler, good afternoon. I'm Andy Frisch. I'm here
- 12 with Mr. Mackey.
- You and I have never met; is that correct?
- 14 A That's correct.
- 15 Q A moment ago you testified that you contacted Mr. Chesley
- 16 of iVision; is that right?
- 17 A No, Mr. Chesley worked for Upland Software.
- 18 Q I see. He in turn contacted iVision, correct?
- 19 A I don't know if he personally did it, but --
- 20 Q His company did?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Do you remember, do you recall that you reached out to
- 23 Mr. Chesley on October 29, 2016?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Do you recall someone who worked for the campaign, the

LLOYD COTLER - CROSS - MR. FRISCH

- 1 | Clinton campaign, Amy Karr?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Was at least one of her jobs to monitor social media?
- 4 A Yes, I believe so.
- 5 Q In fact, her job was to keep up with what was going on on
- 6 various forms of social media, correct, as you understood it?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Among the things that she looked at, among the social
- 9 media that she monitored on behalf of the Clinton campaign,
- 10 was something called Reddit; is that correct?
- 11 A I don't know the particulars of her day-to-day work, but
- 12 sure, I imagine.
- 13 Q And have you heard something called 4chan?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Was it your understanding at the time that one of the
- 16 things she did for the Clinton campaign was monitor something
- 17 | called 4chan?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q She probably -- withdrawn.
- In any event, it was your understanding that it was
- 21 her job on behalf of the campaign to monitor media or
- 22 | networks, if that's the right word, along the lines of 4chan
- 23 and Reddit, correct?
- 24 A That's my understanding, correct.
- MR. FRISCH: Nothing further.

case 1.	21-CI-00060-AIVID DOCUMENT 123 FINEW 04/21/23 Page 104 01 216 Page D #. 1620
	PROCEEDINGS 104
1	THE COURT: Any redirect?
2	MR. GULLOTTA: No. Thank you, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Thank you so much. You can step down.
4	(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
5	THE COURT: I think, rather than squeeze one more
6	person in, I think now is a good time to take our break.
7	We'll come back at 2:15 p.m.
8	I'm going to repeat this every time, but I repeat
9	because it's very important. Don't talk about the case at
10	all. Don't look up anything about the case. Don't let anyone
11	approach you. But do have a good lunch.
12	One other thing I forgot to tell you was, you should
13	do whatever Ms. Greene tell you to do. Have a great lunch.
14	(Jury exits the courtroom.)
15	THE COURT: Everybody can have a seat.
16	If you have an idea, how many witnesses do you think
17	you'll have this afternoon?
18	MR. PAULSEN: Your Honor, we're moving a little bit
19	slower than we probably thought we would be moving. We have
20	as many as 12 lined up, I think we could get to maybe eight
21	done.
22	THE COURT: All right. I'm not holding you to
23	anything. We'll see where we get.
24	Anything that anybody wants to put on the record
25	before we break for lunch?

Juse 1.	PROCEEDINGS 106
1	(Afternoon session.)
2	(In open court.)
3	COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.
4	THE COURT: Please be seated.
5	All right. Just one thing before we start. Do we
6	have everybody? Just one thing before we start. The one
7	thing I think we're still waiting from you all is a proposed
8	verdict sheet. So if you can get that to me. I think Judge
9	Garaufis scheduled a charge conference for tomorrow after the
10	court day, so if I have all of that before hand, I can study
11	up. Okay? Anything before we bring the jurors in? No, okay.
12	(Jury enters the courtroom.)
13	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: You may be seated.
14	THE COURT: Good afternoon ladies and gentlemen. We
15	are ready to resume with the Government's case. Are you ready
16	to call your next witness?
17	MR. GULLOTTA: Yes, your Honor. The Government
18	calls Ti Chesley.
19	(Witness takes the witness stand.)
20	MATTIAS CHESLEY, called as a witness, having been first duly
21	sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as follows:
22	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please, state and spell your
23	name for the record.
24	THE WITNESS: Mattias Chesley.
25	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you. Have a seat.

```
MATTIAS CHESLEY - DIRECT - MR. GULLOTTA
                                                              107
 1
               THE COURT: All right, Mr. Chesley. Just a couple
 2
     of things. First, I want to make sure you don't speak too
 3
     quickly. Our court reporter is taking down everything that I
     say, and it makes her job too hard if you talk too fast.
 5
               Same thing. Don't talk over which ever lawyer is
 6
     asking you a question. Just let them finish the question.
 7
     You have the microphone there, you can move it up if you need
     to. Because I do want to make sure that everyone can hear
 8
     you.
 9
10
               I'd also like you to do your best just to answer the
11
     question that you're being asked. And if something isn't
12
     clear or you want to have it repeated, just let me know, okay?
     Go ahead.
13
14
               MR.
                    GULLOTTA:
                               Thank you, your Honor.
15
     DIRECT EXAMINATION
16
     BY MR. GULLOTTA:
17
          Good afternoon, Mr. Chesley.
18
          Good afternoon.
19
          In what city and state do you live?
20
          I live in Chicago, Illinois.
21
          Are you currently employed?
22
          I am.
23
     Q
          Who is your employer?
24
          S&P Global.
     Α
```

What do you do for S&P Global?

MATTIAS CHESLEY - DIRECT - MR. GULLOTTA

- 1 A Lead an account management team. We support a software
- 2 product focused on climate risk.
- 3 Q Who did you work for in 2016?
- 4 A I worked for a company called Upland Software.
- 5 Q What was your role in Upland Software?
- 6 A Also leading an account management team. My title was
- 7 director of customer success.
- 8 Q Just briefly describe what Upland Software does?
- 9 A Sure. Yeah, Upland Software was a provider of various
- 10 cloud software platforms. The platform that I helped with was
- 11 | a mobile messaging software platform.
- 12 Q Is that the same thing as text messaging?
- 13 A Exactly.
- 14 Q In 2016, did Upland have a customer called Hillary for
- 15 America?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And what was Hillary for America?
- 18 A Political campaign.
- 19 Q What services did Upland provide for Hillary for America?
- 20 A Text messaging to support the campaign.
- 21 Q Are you familiar with a company called iVision Mobile?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q What is iVision Mobile?
- 24 A It was mother mobile messaging company. They have their
- 25 own platform similar to the platform we were supporting.

MATTIAS CHESLEY - DIRECT - MR. GULLOTTA 109

- 1 Q If the fall of 2016, do you recall learning about a
- 2 text-to-vote graphic that was going around social media?
- 3 A I do.
- 4 Q How did you learn about that?
- 5 A It was an e-mail from my contact, Lloyd Cotler, at the
- 6 Hillary for America campaign.
- 7 Q And do you recall on what date you received an e-mail?
- 8 A I don't recall the exact date. But I believe it was in
- 9 November of 2016.
- MR. GULLOTTA: Okay.
- If I can ask Ms. Partia to show just the witness
- 12 Government's Exhibit 3500-TC-3-B.
- 13 (The above-referenced exhibit was published to the
- 14 witness.)
- 15 Q Would it refresh your recollection to take a look at the
- 16 e-mail?
- 17 A That refreshes my recollection.
- MR. GULLOTTA: Can we scroll to the bottom? Page
- 19 five.
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Did you have a chance to review it?
- 22 A I have.
- 23 Q And having reviewed that e-mail, do you recall now when
- 24 you were notified about this text-to-vote graphic?
- 25 A Yes. It was Saturday, October 29th.

MATTIAS CHESLEY - DIRECT - MR. GULLOTTA 110

- 1 Q Did you see one of these graphics yourself?
- 2 A I did.
- 3 Q What did you remember about it?
- 4 A I remember that the branding was really notable to me.
- 5 It was clearly designed to replicate some of the branding from
- 6 the Hillary for America campaign. And then the other thing
- 7 that was really notable was that it had a, it had words on it
- 8 suggesting that you can vote by text.
- 9 Q In your role at Upland, had you seen graphics that were
- 10 | produced by the campaign?
- 11 A I had.
- 12 Q When you saw this text-to-vote graphic that you
- described, did you think it was a joke?
- 14 A Absolutely not a joke.
- 15 Q Was there a short code listed in the graphic?
- 16 A There was.
- 17 Q Was it the short code being used by Hillary for America?
- 18 A It was not.
- 19 Q Did you learn who was using that short code? Who it was
- 20 registered to?
- 21 A I never -- at the time I didn't learn who the end user
- 22 was. But it was, my understanding was that it was registered
- 23 to iVision.
- 24 Q So after learning about the short code and seeing the
- 25 graphic, what did you do? Did you reach out to iVision?

MATTIAS CHESLEY - DIRECT - MR. GULLOTTA

- 1 A I did, yeah, I reached out to my contact at iVision to
- 2 ask if he knew about it and if there was something that he
- 3 could do.
- 4 Q Who was that?
- 5 A His name is Omer Samiri.
- 6 Q Who did you and Mr. Samiri do with respect to these
- 7 | text-to-vote graphics that were using that short code?
- 8 A So I think he initially said he would take care of it.
- 9 And then we reached out again and asked if he could put a
- 10 response message saying that, sort of, clarifying to anybody
- 11 | who might text in that it wasn't actually the Hillary Clinton
- 12 campaign.
- 13 Q Okay. So this would be a message triggered by someone
- 14 | that sends in this key word?
- 15 A Exactly. So they would see that advertisement, you know,
- 16 on Twitter and they would text in and then it would be
- 17 | something, you know, clarifying that they weren't actually
- 18 opting into the Hillary for America campaign.
- 19 Q Why did you want to do that?
- 20 A Because the ad was sort of branded to suggest that they
- 21 | would be opting into the campaign when, in fact, they would
- 22 not be.
- 23 Q Did the graphic make it appear that someone who texted
- 24 that keyword would actually be voting as opposed to opting
- 25 into a campaign?

ase 1:2	21-cr-00080-AMD Document 123 Filed 04/21/23 Page 112 of 218 PageID #: 1828
	Samiri - Direct - Gullotta 112
1	A Correct.
2	Q Was that your concern?
3	A That was my concern.
4	Q Did you let the campaign know that you and Mr. Samiri had
5	come up with a solution, so to speak?
6	A Yeah. We let my contact at the Hillary for America
7	campaign know that we put up that redirect message.
8	Q And after setting up this, sort of, automated warning,
9	did you continue to see these graphics in media cover, social
10	media, anywhere else?
11	A Yeah. I saw it again in a Mashable article. I believe
12	later that year, shortly thereafter.
13	Q And this article was covering this issue with the
14	text-to-vote graphics?
15	A Exactly.
16	MR. GULLOTTA: I have no further questions, your
17	Honor.
18	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
19	
20	THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination?
21	MR. FRISCH: I have no questions.
22	THE COURT: Thank you so much, you can step down.
23	(Witness leaves the witness stand.)
24	THE COURT: Are you ready to call your next witness?

```
OMER SAMIRI - DIRECT - MR. GULLOTTA
                                                              113
 1
     calls Omer Samiri.
 2
               (Witness takes the witness stand.)
 3
     OMER SAMIRI, called as a witness, having been first duly
     sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as follows:
 5
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please, state and spell your
 6
     name for the record.
 7
               THE WITNESS: Omer Samiri.
 8
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you. Have a seat.
               THE COURT: All right, Mr. Samiri. Before we begin,
 9
10
     I just want to give you a couple of instructions. First thing
11
     is I do want to make sure everybody can hear you, so make sure
12
     that you're using the microphone. I also want to make sure
1.3
     that our court reporter's life isn't too difficult, so make
14
     sure that you don't speak too fast.
15
               And along those same lines, whenever a lawyer is
16
     questioning you, just let the person finish talking. Don't
17
     talk over the person. Also, you should do your best to answer
18
     only the question that you're being asked, and if there's
19
     something that you would like to have repeated or that you
20
     don't understand, just let me know, okay?
21
               THE WITNESS:
                              Yes.
22
               THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
23
               MR. GULLOTTA: Thank you, your Honor.
24
     DIRECT EXAMINATION
25
     BY MR. GULLOTTA:
```

- 1 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Samiri.
- 2 A Good afternoon.
- 3 Q Are you currently employed?
- 4 A I am.
- 5 Q Who is your employer?
- 6 A IVision Mobile.
- 7 Q In what city and state is iVision Mobile located?
- 8 A Los Angeles, California.
- 9 Q Can you briefly summarize what iVision Mobile does?
- 10 A iVision Mobile provides businesses and organizations with
- 11 software for text messages and marketing communications.
- 12 Q And without identifying any specific customers, can you
- describe or categorize your typical customer base?
- 14 A Sure. We work with clients across a variety of different
- industries, including health care, hospitality, retail,
- dining, and we do everything from digital loyalty, to coupons
- 17 and alerts and promotions.
- 18 Q And this is all via text messages?
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 Q What do you do for iVision?
- 21 A I'm the CEO.
- 22 Q How long have you worked for iVision?
- 23 A Since 2006.
- Q Were you the CEO the whole time?
- 25 A I was.

- 1 Q Are you one of the founders of the company?
- 2 A I do.
- 3 Q You know what a short code is?
- 4 A Yes, I do.
- 5 Q What's a short code?
- 6 A A short code is typically a 4 to 6, or 5 to 6 digit
- 7 | abbreviated phone number that's used for commercial messaging
- 8 in the United States.
- 9 Q Does iVision lease or register for short coat?
- 10 A We do have short codes leased, yes.
- 11 Q And how does one go about leasing a short code?
- 12 A Typically through the common short code administration.
- 13 There's a lease process and there's a provisioning process to
- 14 get it approved with the carriers.
- 15 Q How many short codes does iVision lease currently,
- 16 approximately?
- 17 A In the 40 or so range.
- 18 Q In 2016, did iVision have a relationship with a company
- 19 | called Upland Software?
- 20 A Yes, we did.
- 21 Q And what was that relationship with Upland?
- 22 A Upland was our messaging aggregator, and they handled the
- 23 connection with the cell phone carriers for the messaging.
- 24 Q In 2016, did iVision lease the short code 59925?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q Do you still have that short code?
- 2 A Yes, we do.
- 3 Q In preparation for this case, did you look at iVision
- 4 records relating to that short code; 59925?
- 5 A Yes, I did.
- 6 Q Around election time, so October November of 2016, were
- 7 any of iVision's customers using the short code 59925?
- 8 A Yes. Many were.
- 9 Q Multiple customers?
- 10 A Mm-hmm.
- 11 Q How does that work? How do multiple customers use the
- 12 same short code?
- 13 A By setting up what's called a keyword that identifies in
- 14 the system how to route messages. So when somebody texts in a
- 15 specific key word belonging to that customer, the system
- 16 | would then route that message to that client's account.
- 17 Q Okay. So if a grocery store is using that short code and
- 18 they set up a keyword apple, anyone who sends in the key word
- 19 apple, their message is going to get routed to that customer
- 20 's account?
- 21 A Yes, that's correct.
- 22 Q But another customer would use the key word sneaker and
- 23 then anyone who texts in the word sneaker, that message is
- 24 going to get routed to that second customer's account?
- 25 A Yes, that's correct.

- 1 Q Around this time; October, November 2016, did you learn
- 2 that someone that was not an iVision customer was sharing a
- 3 graphic with your short code in it?
- 4 A Yes, we did.
- 5 Q How did you learn about that?
- 6 A We received an e-mail from Upland on October 30th, a
- 7 Sunday, informing us that someone was using the short code to
- 8 promote a campaign that was not approved by the Hillary
- 9 campaign, and for us to look further into it.
- 10 Q Who reached out to you from Upland?
- 11 A Ty Chesley, who was our direct account rep.
- 12 Q Did you see any of these graphics that were using your
- 13 | short code?
- 14 A Yes, I did.
- MR. GULLOTTA: Can we show Mr. Samiri, please,
- 16 Government Exhibit 720?
- 17 (The above-referenced exhibit was published to the
- 18 witness.)
- 19 Q Do you recognize this image?
- 20 A Yes, I do.
- 21 Q Did you see this around fall of 2016?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q What did you think when you saw this graphic?
- 24 A Initially I suspected it was a client, and then after
- doing research, we determined that the keyword Hillary did not

- 1 exist anywhere in our system.
- 2 Q So no clients were using that keyword?
- 3 A That's correct.
- 4 Q Did you read the content? I mean, did you look at the
- 5 | image and see what it says?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Did you think this was a joke?
- 8 A I didn't know how to take it, to be honest. But I don't
- 9 know if I considered it a joke or not.
- 10 Q What did do you after learning about this and seeing it?
- 11 A Well, immediately we went on Twitter and started playing
- some offense to reach out to the Twitter profiles that were
- 13 sharing this graphic. To basically get them to remove the
- 14 posts. And at the same time, we were also working with Upland
- 15 to address how were going to deal with it and what the next
- 16 steps were.
- 17 Q How did you do that? Did you search for your short code
- on Twitter to find other instances of this image?
- 19 A The short code wasn't easy to search because that's part
- of graphics. So unless people included that in their text, it
- 21 wouldn't have come up in a search. But we were able to search
- 22 for key words within the context of the post. And then also
- 23 Twitter accounts had tagged iVision Mobile on their commentary
- 24 regarding the posts, so it was easy for us to backtrack into
- 25 those posts; if you will.

- 1 Q So people on social media were figuring out that iVision
- 2 Mobile was, in some way, connected to this short code?
- 3 A That's correct.
- 4 Q Did that concern you?
- 5 A It did because it portrayed that iVision Mobile was
- 6 somehow involved in this.
- 7 Q Did you think this was a fake campaign ad when you saw
- 8 it?
- 9 A I knew it wasn't real. And so it was just a matter of
- 10 understanding who it was. If it was not a customer, who it
- 11 was that was doing it.
- 12 Q Is that why you were concerned about iVision being
- 13 associated with this?
- 14 A Yes. We didn't want any punitive action taken on behalf
- of the carriers to turn off the short code and adversely
- 16 affect our business.
- 17 Q Okay.
- So in addition to searching on social media or other
- 19 instances of images like this, what else did you do?
- 20 A We monitored messaging activity into the system and then
- 21 on November 2nd , after a few days dealing with Upland and
- determining the best way to approach it, we went and set up a
- 23 key word, Hillary, in the system. That responded back to
- 24 people that did text in, informing them that the ad was not
- 25 supported by iVision Mobile or by Hillary for America. And if

- 1 they wanted more information, they can text the real Hillary
- 2 for America for more details.
- 3 Q Were you concerned that people might be falling for this?
- 4 As a way to warn them?
- 5 MR. FRISCH: Objection.
- 6 THE COURT: Sustained as to form. Just don't lead.
- 7 What were some of your concerns about it?
- 8 A There was a concern that people would think it was
- 9 legitimate. I think given technology and innovation and, you
- 10 know, it won't be outside the norm for something like this,
- 11 maybe, in the future to exist.
- 12 Q Okay.
- And I think you said you deployed the automatic,
- 14 sort of, warning on November 2nd.
- 15 A That's correct.
- 16 Q Did iVision receive inbound text messages with the
- 17 keyword Hillary?
- 18 A Yes, we did.
- 19 Q To that short code, 59925?
- 20 A That's correct.
- 21 Q Does iVision keep records of the inbound text messages
- 22 that it receives?
- 23 A Yes, we do.
- 24 Q Did you review those records?
- 25 A I did.

- 1 Q Approximately how many inbound text messages with the
- 2 keyword Hillary did iVision receive?
- 3 A There were about 5,600 inbound messages on behalf of
- 4 5,300 or so phone numbers.
- 5 Q Did you produce records to the Government reflecting
- 6 iVision's data regarding inbound text messages?
- 7 A Yes, we did.
- 8 MR. GULLOTTA: If we can show Mr. Samiri Government
- 9 Exhibit 740, but just to Mr. Samiri.
- 10 (The above-referenced exhibit was published to the
- 11 witness.)
- 12 THE COURT: Is this something that you're going to
- 13 object to, counsel?
- 14 MR. FRISCH: I just have to see what it is.
- MR. GULLOTTA: Particularly if you can click on the
- 16 second tab. Thank you.
- 17 Q Mr. Samiri, do you recognize this document?
- 18 A Yes, I do.
- 19 Q Did you prepare it?
- 20 A I did.
- 21 Q And without going through all of the details of what's in
- 22 it, can you describe what this document contains ?
- 23 A Sure. It's a log of the received messages into our
- 24 system from the mobile subscribers that were texting in the
- 25 keyword Hillary to 59925.

- 1 Q And this particular tab, is that a subset of the total
- 2 | number of text messages that iVision received?
- 3 A Yes. This received log, which is the second tab, is
- 4 anybody that texted in after the keyword had been set up in
- 5 our system to handle our response.
- 6 Q So when a keyword is set up in your system, does the
- 7 system automatically log information about the incoming text
- 8 messages?
- 9 A It logs -- our system automatically logs all information
- 10 on inbound messages. But by assigning the keyword, we were
- 11 then able to route those messages to a specific account for
- 12 easier analysis and reporting on that keyword.
- 13 Q Did you set up an account for this purpose because you
- 14 | were tracking inbound text messages with the key word Hillary?
- 15 A We just used an internal house account as opposed to
- 16 setting up a new account.
- 17 Q If I could direct your attention to the first tab, which
- 18 is marked notepad file.
- 19 Again, without going through all of the lines of
- 20 data here, what is contained in this sheet?
- 21 A This was our attempt query the system for everything else
- 22 in advance of setting up the keyword. When people were
- 23 texting in and there was nothing in the system handling those
- responses and routing those messages to a specific account,
- 25 they were just getting captured in the repository of received

```
Case 1:21-cr-00080-AMD Document 123 Filed 04/21/23 Page 123 of 218 PageID #: 1839
                         Samiri - Direct - Gullotta
                                                                123
  1
      messages. And so this was our attempt to do a more manual
  2
       search within the data to find out people that texted in the
      keyword Hillary to 59925.
  3
            Before the automated response was set up primarily?
  4
  5
            Primarily before, but it did capture some overlap of
  6
      after. After the automated responses was set up, correct.
  7
            With respect to the information in these two tabs that we
  8
       just went through, did you initially produce an Excel
       spreadsheet that had the data in the received log and then you
  9
 10
      produced a notepad file that had this data in it as well?
 11
 12
 13
            That's correct.
      Α
           And this sheet is a combination of those two files?
 14
 15
            The --
      Α
 16
            The entire Excel spreadsheet.
 17
            The entire spreadsheet is a combination of both files
 18
       reconciled together .
 19
                 MR. GULLOTTA: Your Honor, I move to admit
 20
       Government Exhibit 740.
 21
                 THE COURT: Any objection?
 22
                 MR. FRISCH: Your Honor, is the entirety, that is,
 23
      all of -- is the Government moving into evidence the entirety
      of the document? That is, all the tabs? If that's the case,
 24
```

25

I have no objection.

It begins with column headings, right?

There's a header row so it would be 5092.

through and summarize what's in each column?

92, okay. And can just explain for the jury just walk

Sure. Column A would be the short code that they texted

21

22

23

24

- 1 into. Column B would be the keyword, the word that was texted
- 2 | in. Column C is the mobile number that texted in, the
- 3 message. Column D is the date. Column E is the time. The
- 4 time stamp of those messages, those are all Pacific time.
- 5 Column F is just isolating the day out of the date to allow
- for easier summing of the data on column H and I. And that's
- 7 | what you see there in column H and I, is the number of text
- 8 messages on each of those dates, and then column K and L
- 9 represent the number of text messages for each of those phone
- 10 numbers. Some having texted in more than once.
- 11 Q Thank you very much.
- Just to be clear, in column F which has the title
- day, in line 2 it says 24. So does that represent November
- 14 24th.
- 15 A That's correct.
- 16 Q And the line three below it has the number 14. And so
- 17 that message came in -- and that represents November 14th,
- 18 correct?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q So if we look through over to the right on columns H and
- 21 I, are these totals that you compiled?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And so I see next to -- on date in, the number 1. So
- 24 that represents November 1st ?
- 25 A That's correct.

- 1 Q And you had testified that the automatic warning was not
- 2 | put in place until November 2nd, correct?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Is that why there's a zero next to November 1st?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Then in column, I quess it's line three, column H,
- 7 | that's November 2nd?
- 8 A Yes, this is.
- 9 Q Do you remember what time of day the automatic warning
- 10 was sort of deployed?
- 11 A Around 10:40-ish in the morning.
- 12 Q Would that be Pacific time?
- 13 A Pacific time.
- 14 Q So after 10:40-ish in the morning Pacific time on
- November 2nd, iVision received166 inbound text messages with
- 16 the word Hillary to short code 59925?
- 17 THE COURT: Can I just ask a question? Before you
- 18 put in the code Hillary, were text messages still coming in,
- 19 but they're just, there was just, you just didn't have it
- 20 assigned to that name yet?
- 21 THE WITNESS: It wasn't designed to an account, so
- 22 those messages were going to a general inbox, but not an
- 23 inbox to a user. They were going into a database, but not
- 24 something that would be web accessible to log in.
- 25 THE COURT: I see, okay. Sorry about that, go

Samiri - Direct - Gullotta 127 1 ahead. 2 MR. GULLOTTA: That's okay. Thank you, your Honor. 3 So those messages that came in, we're going to get to those in a moment. They weren't, I think you said being 5 routed to any specific account? That's correct. 6 7 Until you set this one up? 8 Yes. Moving down to line four, the date in, in column H is November 3rd; is that correct? 10 11 Yes.

12 And there were 1274 inbound text messages with the

keyword Hillary to your short code? 13

14 That's correct.

15 And then again moving down to November 4th, there was

16 1602?

17 Mm-hmm, yes.

18 Then it looks like it starts to taper off a little bit

19 from the 5th through November 8th, which was Election Day?

20 Yes, it tapers off.

21 And then it's kind of a pretty severe drop off after the

22 8th, correct?

23 That's correct.

24 If we can look -- well, actually. Let's move over to

25 column K. These are -- what's in column K?

- 1 A The unique phone numbers that are represented in column
- 2 C. Column C might show duplicates if people texted in more
- 3 than once and column K would the unique mobile numbers of that
- 4 list.
- 5 Q What's column L?
- 6 A Column L is the number of text messages sent in by those
- 7 unique phone numbers.
- 8 Q So that first number beginning with area code (413) on
- 9 line, 2 that person texted Hillary to your short code eight
- 10 times?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And same thing going down. Those are numbers that sent,
- 13 looks like multiple texts with that keyword to your short
- 14 code?
- 15 A That's correct.
- 16 Q If we can look at the tab at the bottom that's marked
- 17 | notepad file. And again, there's a lot of similarity, but if
- 18 you can walk through the columns and explain to the jury
- 19 what's in each of those columns?
- 20 A Sure. Column A would be the date in. That the message
- 21 was received. Column B would be the time stamp, also Pacific
- 22 time. Column C is the mobile number. Column D is the short
- code and column E is the inbound message received. Column G,
- 24 again, takes the date and column H sums up the number of
- 25 messages received for that particular date. And then column J

- 1 | would be the unique phone numbers and column K represents the
- 2 number of messages for those unique phone numbers.
- 3 Q All right. And then so if we do the same exercise with
- 4 columns G and H, it looks like according to your totals that
- 5 on October 29, iVision received 16 inbound texts with the
- 6 keyword Hillary?
- 7 A That's correct.
- 8 Q And then on the 30th, there were 11?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q 31st, 23?
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 Q And the 1st there were 23?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q And now after the 2nd, moving down, your system has,
- 15 | should be collecting the inbound texts with the keyword
- 16 Hillary, right, and logging them on that sheet we looked at
- 17 just a moment ago?
- 18 A That's correct.
- 19 Q So what are these -- what's represented here with these
- 20 numbers?
- 21 A So the nature of how we obtain this data was a direct
- 22 call to the database where we had to essentially introduce
- 23 different variations of what people might have texted in to
- 24 try to aggregate all of the phone numbers that were part of
- 25 this campaign, if you will. And so it was just the nature in

- 1 | which the query was written to find those messages in the
- 2 database which cause some overlap on those days.
- 3 Q Okay. So in the received log that's happening
- 4 automatically through your software --
- 5 A That's correct.
- 6 Q -- keyword set up?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And with these numbers, this was a result of a more
- 9 manual search?
- 10 A A very manual search.
- 11 Q Do you recall after speaking with Mr. Chesley and
- deploying the warning, the response, if you saw the graphics
- 13 we looked at before anywhere else? Either in media
- 14 publications or on social media?
- 15 A Media publications.
- 16 Q And did you share those media publications on social
- media to provide further warning to folks?
- 18 A I did. It was an effort not only to warn people, but
- 19 also to somewhat try to clear iVision Mobile's name and
- 20 involvement.
- 21 Q Again, because you were concerned your short code had
- 22 essentially been hijacked, correct?
- 23 A Yeah. The carriers are very quick to act, and so any
- 24 adverse action on the short code would have profound impact on
- 25 our business. So we wanted to first and foremost put it out

Mr. Samiri, good afternoon. I'm Andy Frisch and I'm here

with Mr. Mackey. You and I have never met before.

21

22

23

24

25

CROSS-EXAMINATION

That's correct.

BY MR. FRISCH:

OMER SAMIRI - CROSS - MR. FRISCH 132

- 1 MR. FRISCH: I just want to make sure that the
- 2 document in evidence, that I understand what is in evidence.
- 3 So if I can ask that we show Mr. Samiri 35000S-7-E.
- 4 (The above-referenced exhibit was published to the
- 5 witness.)
- 6 Q Can you see that, sir?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And is that part of the exhibit that the Government just
- 9 moved into evidence?
- 10 A It appears to be, yes.
- MR. FRISCH: And if you could also show Mr. Samiri
- 12 35000S-3-C.
- 13 (The above-referenced exhibit was published to the
- 14 witness.)
- 15 Q I think a moment ago you referred to this essentially as
- 16 the notepad part of the exhibit; is that right?
- 17 A Yes. That's correct.
- 18 Q And that's also part of the exhibit that was moved into
- 19 evidence, correct?
- 20 A Yes, it is.
- 21 Q While this exhibit is on the screen, the first line of
- 22 this portion of the exhibit shows incoming texts or incoming
- 23 text on October 29, 2016; is that right?
- 24 A That's correct.
- 25 Q And then I want to show you 3500 OS-1-D.

```
OMER SAMIRI - CROSS - MR. FRISCH
                                                              133
 1
               (The above-referenced exhibit was published to the
 2
     witness.)
 3
          And that's also -- and that's also part of the exhibit
     that's in evidence, correct?
 5
     Α
          Yes.
 6
          Thank you. I just wanted to be clear it was, all of that
 7
     was in evidence.
               I think you mentioned in your direct testimony that
 8
 9
     there was media coverage of these memes or these postings; is
10
     that correct.
11
          That's correct.
12
          Do you recall that that media coverage began on or about
     November 2, 2016?
13
          I don't recall the exact date.
14
15
          Are you familiar with a publication or online news
16
     service called Wired?
17
          Yes, I am.
18
               MR. FRISCH: So if I can show you for identification
19
     what is marked Government Exhibit 744.
20
               (The above-referenced exhibit was published to the
21
     witness.)
22
               MR. FRISCH: We can focus in if you can't read it.
23
     If it's too big. Thank you.
24
        Does this refresh your recollection that the date where
```

media coverage about these postings began was November 2,

OMER SAMIRI - CROSS - MR. FRISCH

- 1 2016?
- 2 A This shows the date that I posted. Doesn't necessarily
- 3 media coverage began.
- 4 Q Do you remember, is this a posting that you that you made
- 5 yourself?
- 6 A I did, yes.
- 7 Q And do you recall from looking at this that what you
- 8 posted was for people to read about it in Wired?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q And does that refresh your recollection that, at least as
- 11 of November 2, 2016, you had reason to believe that this was
- 12 being cover on Wired?
- 13 A As of November 2nd, yes.
- 14 Q Okay. Thank you, sir.
- You testified that there were a number of phone
- 16 numbers that texted Hillary multiple times, correct.
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q I won't hold you to the exact number, there's a lot of
- 19 numbers here. But is it true that approximately 16 different
- 20 numbers texted Hillary more than once?
- 21 A I think that number was closer to 100 and some odd phone
- 22 numbers that texted in more than once.
- 23 Q There was one text, one phone number, that texted Hillary
- 24 about 12 times?
- 25 A Correct.

OMER SAMIRI - CROSS - MR. FRISCH

- 1 Q Was that the largest number that came from any one
- 2 | telephone number, as best that you can remember?
- 3 A From what I recall, correct.
- 4 Q Are you able to say from your work how many texts came in
- 5 before the afternoon of November 1, 2016? And again, there's
- 6 a lot of numbers here and an approximation is fine.
- 7 A It would be in the notepad file. And I want to say maybe
- 8 under 100 came in before that date.
- 9 Q Approximately?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And then I want to ask you: Are you able to approximate
- 12 how many of the texts came in after November 2, 2016, say,
- 13 | that afternoon?
- 14 A It was several thousand, I believe.
- 15 Q Would you agree with me that most of the texts that we're
- 16 talking about here came in after the afternoon of November 2,
- 17 | 2016; is that fair?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Now, the text that I showed you a moment ago for
- 20 identification, Government Exhibit 744, was that publically
- 21 posted?
- 22 A Yes, it was.
- 23 Q And it was publically posted by you or your company,
- 24 correct?
- 25 A That's correct.

Most of the numbers only texts once.

```
Anderson - Direct - Buford
                                                              137
 1
     Q
          Significant majority?
 2
          Significant majority, yes.
 3
          And with respect to the cause of the surge in inbound
     text messages, do you know for sure that it was the Wired
 5
     magazine or some other media publication?
 6
          I do not.
 7
          Could it have been because somebody was sharing this on
     Twitter?
 8
          Could very well be a result of the posts on Twitter.
10
     OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
11
12
               MR. GULLOTTA: Thank you, your Honor. No further
13
     questions.
               MR. FRISCH: I have nothing else.
14
15
               THE COURT: Okay, thank you so much. You can step
16
     down.
17
               (Witness leaves the stand.)
18
               THE COURT: Are you ready for your next witness?
19
               MR. BUFORD: Your Honor, the Government calls
20
     Michael Anderson.
21
               (Witness takes the witness stand.)
22
     MICHAEL ANDERSON, called as a witness, having been first duly
23
     sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as follows:
24
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please, state and spell your
25
     name for the record.
```

```
MICHAEL ANDERSON - DIRECT - MR. BUFORD
                                                              138
 1
               THE WITNESS: Michael Anderson.
 2
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you. Have a seat.
 3
               THE COURT: All right, good afternoon Mr. Anderson.
     I just want to give a couple of instructions before you start.
 4
 5
     The first is just keep in mind that it's important that
     everybody in the jury and everybody at both tables hear what
 6
 7
     you have to say. And to that end I'm going to ask you not to
 8
     speak too quickly. Our court reporter takes down everything
     that you say and if you're a fast talker, it makes it hard on
 9
10
     the court reporter.
11
               Similarly, let whatever lawyer is asking you
12
     questions finish talking before you start speaking so you're
     not taking over each other.
13
               Do your best to answer only the question that you're
14
15
     being asked and if there's something you want to have repeated
16
     or that you don't understand just tell me, okay? All right,
     go ahead.
17
18
     DIRECT EXAMINATION
19
     BY MR. BUFORD:
20
          Good afternoon, Mr. Anderson. Are you currently
21
     employed?
22
          Yes.
23
          Where do you work?
24
     Α
          Twitter.
25
          What's your current position?
```

MICHAEL ANDERSON - DIRECT - MR. BUFORD

- 1 A My current position is senior engineering manager for
- 2 core services.
- 3 Q What kind of work does core services do?
- 4 A We maintain many of Twitter's core services like the main
- 5 applications for serving tweets, for serving users and other
- 6 aspects of the exact.
- 7 Q How long have you been at Twitter overall?
- 8 A Nine years.
- 9 Q Can you give us a sense of the positions you've held at
- 10 Twitter over those nine years?
- 11 A I joined as an engineer contributing to our
- 12 experimentation team. And then about five years ago I became
- 13 a manager on our growth team, working on, sort of, the user
- 14 experience and sign up. About four months ago, I became the
- 15 lead for core services.
- 16 Q From your work at Twitter, are you generally familiar
- 17 | with how Twitter's technology works to provide services to its
- 18 subscribers?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q I want to ask you about the location for Twitter's
- 21 servers. Without necessarily giving us the specific address,
- 22 can you tell us where Twitter servers were located in the year
- 23 2016?
- 24 A Yeah. We had two data centers serving production
- 25 traffic. One was based in Atlanta and the other was

MICHAEL ANDERSON - DIRECT - MR. BUFORD 141

- 1 BY MR. BUFORD:
- 2 (Continuing.)
- 3 Q What about a direct message? Would that similarly have
- 4 been routed through Twitter's servers through either Atlanta,
- 5 Sacramento or both?
- 6 A Both.
- 7 Q I would like to show for the witness only what has been
- 8 marked for identification as Government Exhibit 201.
- 9 Mr. Anderson, are you familiar with this document?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Without necessarily telling us the specific contents, can
- 12 | you tell us generally what it is?
- 13 A Each row represents a tweet. The doc I.D. is the tweet
- 14 I.D. that we track internally.
- 15 Q In preparation for your testimony here today, did you see
- 16 a copy of this document?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Did you have a chance to compare it to Twitter's own
- 19 records with respect to these two tweets?
- 20 A Yes, I did.
- 21 Q Is the data in this document accurate to the best of your
- 22 knowledge?
- 23 A Yes.
- MR. BUFORD: Your Honor, the Government offers,
- 25 Government Exhibit 201.

Starting with the document I.D. in the first row. From

MICHAEL ANDERSON - DIRECT - MR. BUFORD

- 1 your review of the client I.D. associated with this document
- 2 | I.D., what, if anything, can you tell us about how this
- 3 particular tweet was sent?
- 4 A Yes. This was sent from our Twitter web client so it
- 5 | would be most likely from Twitter.com.
- 6 Q And how would a user access Twitter.com?
- 7 A Most easily through a desktop or laptop computer.
- 8 Q And looking at the document I.D. in row No. 2, from your
- 9 | comparison tip document I.D. with the associated client I.D.,
- 10 what can you tell us about how this particular tweet was sent?
- 11 A Sent in 2016, it would have been sent from our mobile
- 12 website. So mobile Twitter.com.
- 13 Q And how to would a user access the mobile website?
- 14 A It would have loaded by default if you were on a mobile
- device like an iPhone or something.
- 16 Q We can set this exhibit aside.
- 17 From your work at Twitter, are you familiar with
- 18 | something called an orphan retweet?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Can you tell us generally what that is?
- 21 A Yes. So if you send a tweet and then I retweet it, and
- 22 then you delete your retweet, my retweet is orphaned because
- 23 the original tweet doesn't exist.
- 24 Q What happens to an orphan retweet?
- 25 A They get deleted periodically throughout the day since

```
M. ANDERSON - CROSS - MR. FRISCH
                                                              144
 1
     there is nothing really left of them so we maintain those.
 2
               MR. BUFORD: Your Honor, if I may have one moment?
 3
               THE COURT: Sure.
               MR. BUFORD: No further questions, your Honor.
 5
               THE COURT: Any objection.
 6
               MR. FRISCH: May I have one moment?
 7
               THE COURT: Sure.
 8
               MR. FRISCH: Can I ask my questions from here?
 9
               THE COURT:
                           Sure.
10
               MR. FRISCH: Can I ask Ms. Pershad it put up 201 and
11
     make it a little bit bigger.
12
     CROSS-EXAMINATION
     BY MR. FRISCH:
1.3
14
          Mr. Anderson if you look at the 1, 2, 3, fourth column,
15
     it says "sent day and time." Is that what Twitter's records
16
     show as to the date and time that those particular things were
17
     posted or sent?
18
          The dates are accurate from what I at least reviewed .
19
     don't recall I wasn't tracking the times.
20
          My question is: Does this record -- does this record
21
     reliably indicate what time or what time of day on
22
     November 1st and November 2nd these two things were posted or
23
     sent or whatever or transmitted.
24
               Is the came time of day reliable on this document?
25
          Is the question, is the time, like, if that's what we
```

MARK BERTUCCI - DIRECT - MR. PAULSEN 147 1 Α I did. I spent about ten years in New York City. 2 Did that include 2016? 3 It did, yes. 4 During that time, did you know an individual named 5 Douglass Mackey ? 6 I did, yeah. 7 Do you recognize him in the courtroom today? 8 MR. FRISCH: Your Honor, I'll stipulate. 9 THE COURT: Indicating the defendant. 10 Go ahead. 11 I do, yes. 12 In what context did you know him? 1.3 I was his roommate. 14 During that time, what did you learn about his 15 background? 16 From my understanding, he went to Middlebury College in 17 Vermont. I know he had a brother, at least one brother. How about athletic achievement. Did you know anything 18 19 about that?

- 20 I believe he was on the cross country team at Middlebury.
- 21 During the time you lived together, did you have internet
- 22 access in your apartment?
- 23 Α We did, yes.
- 24 Do you recall what company you got it from?
- 25 Α Spectrum.

MARK BERTUCCI - DIRECT - MR. PAULSEN 148 1 Now, did you live with Mr. Mackey in the time --2 approximate month or two prior to the 2016 election? 3 Yes. 4 During that time did you know whether the defendant had 5 social media accounts? 6 Not that I was aware of, no. 7 You never saw him using social media? 8 Not really, no. 9 During the time you lived with him, could you generally 10 see what he was doing? 11 Besides just being at the apartment making dinner, 12 occasionally watching TV, not really, no. 1.3 Did he spend a lot of time privately in the apartment? 14 I would say so, yes. 15 THE COURT: Were you working at the time? 16 THE WITNESS: I was, yeah. Go ahead. Is it fair to say the defendant spent a lot of 17 18 time on his own in his room? 19 Α Yes. 20 Now, do you recall a later time when you learned the 21 defendant's name was put in the news? 22 I do, yeah. 23 Could you please tell me what you recollect? 24 I just know the -- I got a text from a roommate that we

25

shared in common.

PAUL NEHLEN - DIRECT - MR. PAULSEN 152 1 PAUL NEHLEN, called as a witness, having been first duly 2 sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified as follows: 3 COURTROOM DEPUTY: State your name for the record. 4 THE WITNESS: Paul F. Nehlen, III. 5 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Have a seat. 6 THE COURT: Few things before we begin. I want to 7 make sure the jury can -- I'm over here -- I want to make sure 8 the jury can hear you, so I want to make sure you're using the 9 microphone. 10 THE WITNESS: Okav. 11 THE COURT: And please don't speak too quickly. The 12 Court reporter has to take down your testimony and if you 13 speak too quickly to makes his hard job hard. 14 For the same reason, whichever lawyer's questioning 15 you, finish talking before you start talking so you're not 16 talking over each other. If there's something that you don't 17 understand or want to have repeated, let me know and just do 18 your best to answer just the question you're being asked okay. 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 20 THE COURT: Go ahead. 21 MR. PAULSEN: Thank you, your Honor. 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. PAULSEN: 24 Good afternoon, Mr. Nehlen. 25 Good afternoon.

PAUL NEHLEN - DIRECT - MR. PAULSEN 153

- 1 Q Where do you currently live?
- 2 A Delavan, Wisconsin.
- 3 Q What did you do there?
- 4 A I run a business that builds commercial water filtration
- 5 equipment.
- 6 Q Okay. I would like to ask you some questions about some
- 7 events that took place back in 2017 and '18?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q In the year 2017, were you aware of an individual who
- 10 went by the name Ricky Vaughn?
- 11 A Yes, sir.
- 12 Q What did you know about that individual?
- 13 A Just a Twitter personality, like, a Trump supporter.
- 14 | Q Were you a Twitter user around that time?
- 15 A I was.
- 16 Q Okay. Did you follow Mr. Vaughn or Ricky Vaughn?
- 17 A I did.
- 18 Q Were you eventually put in touch with the person who was
- 19 running that account?
- 20 A Yes, sir, I was.
- 21 Q How did that happen?
- 22 A A reporter that had contacted me said that she would put
- 23 me in touch with him; that he was interested in talking to me.
- Q Okay. What were you doing at that time?
- 25 A I was running for Congress.

PAUL NEHLEN - DIRECT - MR. PAULSEN

- 1 Q Where were you running for Congress?
- 2 A In Southeastern Wisconsin.
- 3 Q Did you get in touch with the individual who was running
- 4 the Ricky Vaughn account?
- 5 A I did.
- 6 Q How did that happen?
- 7 A I was either given an e-mail or phone number somehow was
- 8 put in touch with him.
- 9 Q Do you remember what your initial conversations were?
- 10 A I was really just about -- he liked what I was working on
- 11 and believed what I was doing and, eventually, said that he
- 12 | would like to help the campaign.
- 13 Q Okay. Do you remember at what point in your campaign you
- 14 were when this contact happened?
- 15 A It was in the fall of that year.
- 16 O Now, these communications you had with the individual
- 17 known as Ricky Vaughn in what form were they?
- 18 A Either by text message or e- mail. I think we had maybe
- 19 one phone call. One that I can remember. Maybe more but
- 20 definitely one that I can remember.
- 21 Q And you had Ricky Vaughan's phone number?
- 22 A I did.
- 23 Q You had Ricky Vaughan's e-mail?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 Q Now, when these conversations were happening, did you

PAUL NEHLEN - DIRECT - MR. PAULSEN

- 1 know the name of the real person who was Ricky Vaughn?
- 2 A Not initially, no.
- 3 Q Did you ever learn it?
- 4 A I did.
- 5 Q How did that happen?
- 6 A He e-mailed me from his e-mail that had his name on it
- 7 and he sent me a document, pitch document, for using a service
- 8 that he was representing.
- 9 MR. PAULSEN: Your Honor, I would like to show the
- 10 witness, and only the witness, what's been marked for
- 11 identification as Government Exhibits 700 and 701.
- 12 THE COURT: Okay.
- 13 Q Mr. Nehlen , this is Government Exhibit 700. Do you
- 14 recognize this document?
- 15 A I yes, sir.
- 16 Q Is this the e-mail you referred to a moment ago?
- 17 A It is.
- 18 Q Okay. And this --
- MR. PAULSEN: Ms. Pershad, can you show the
- 20 attachment and show the witness.
- 21 Q Mr. Nehlen, do you recognize this document?
- 22 A I do.
- Q What is this?
- 24 A This was a pitch that was sent to me with this Smart
- 25 Checker program. It was supposed to increase the amount of

<b>C</b> aoc <b>1</b>	SIDEBAR CONFERENCE 157
1	(Sidebar conference.)
2	MR. FRISCH: Is there more than one copy?
3	MR. BUFORD: That I don't know.
4	MR. PAULSEN: Is the cover e-mail?
5	THE COURT: What year is this from?
6	MR. PAULSEN: It's from 2017, October.
7	THE COURT: What's the relevance?
8	MR. PAULSEN: The relevance is that Mr. Nehlen is
9	the individual who revealed Ricky Vaughan's true identity as
10	Douglass Mackey to the world. Were it not for Mr. Nehlen,
11	it's very likely he would not have been identified.
12	THE COURT: What does this have to do with him?
13	MR. PAULSEN: This is the one piece of evidence that
14	he received that actually had the defendant's name on it.
15	THE COURT: Oh, I see. But do we need the
16	substance of something that happened two years after in
17	evidence? I think that's what the objection is.
18	MR. FRISCH: Yes.
19	MR. PAULSEN: At the very least, I think the actual
20	e-mail
21	MR. FRISCH: I don't have a problem with the e-mail.
22	MR. PAULSEN: The Government's fine with just this.
23	We offered it for completeness.
24	THE COURT: That's fine.
25	MR. PAULSEN: He's going to talk about what their

## Case 1:21-cr-00080-AMD Document 123 Filed 04/21/23 Page 158 of 218 PageID #: 1874 SIDEBAR CONFERENCE 158 1 interactions were. 2 THE COURT: I think the e-mail is fine. I don't 3 think there is a need for the rest of it. 4 MR. PAULSEN: That's fine. 5 THE COURT: Sounds like everybody agrees. 6 MR. FRISCH: I also just don't want -- my 7 understanding with the e- mail, and will not go into what 8 about the substance of it is, is it's just to establish the 9 e-mail for your purposes. 10 MR. PAULSEN: I was just going to ask him to 11 characterize what sort of conversations they had. 12 developed a relationship as Mr. Mackey was offering some 1.3 services to his campaign. The details don't really matter to 14 11S. 15 THE COURT: I was going to say you can certainly 16 talk about what their interactions to the extent it is 17 relevant to this issue, but this isn't going to do it anyway. 18 So I don't think relevant details of what he's offering. I 19 will say they don't seem particularly shocking to me but I 20 don't think they're relevant either. 21 So I think let's not snatch defeat from the jaws of 22 victory.

MR. PAULSEN: Your Honor, just to prevent the next -- there is a fairly anodyne contract. They didn't actually agree to this, this is the offer.

23

24

## SIDEBAR CONFERENCE 159

THE COURT: But who cares, right?

MR. PAULSEN: The only thing I wanted to say is that Mr. Nehlen became quite disenchanted with Mr. Mackey. That's part of the reason he revealed his identity. He was -- we are going to treat that in pretty broad brush strokes.

THE COURT: First of all, I don't think that matters and I don't understand you to be asking to bring that out. I think if you can just cut to the chase that, I mean, I suppose, I mean, was there some dispute about him not wanting to be known? I apologize I don't know every single detail about this case, but is that an issue? I know that was a question before Judge Garaufis.

MR. PAULSEN: The issue, your Honor, is that
Mr. Mackey was unknown to the world until this moment. The
investigation into him only commenced when we actually learned
who he was. Some of the delays which, frankly, Mr. Frisch
opened on about the delays are due in small part to the fact
that Mr. Mackey was no the flown.

THE COURT: Right. I don't think that anybody's disputing that. I just think the question is we don't need to know all these details.

MR. PAULSEN: Sure, your Honor.

THE COURT: Great. Thank you.

(Sidebar discussion concludes.)

## Case 1:21-cr-00080-AMD Document 123 Filed 04/21/23 Page 160 of 218 PageID #: 1876 P. NEHLEN - DIRECT - MR. PAULSEN 160 1 (In open court.) 2 THE COURT: All right. So I think Exhibit 700, the 3 first page is in evidence. 4 MR. PAULSEN: Yes, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Okay. (Government Exhibit 700, was received in evidence.) 6 7 THE COURT: Next question. 8 BY MR. PAULSEN: So, Mr. Nehlen, I'm going to show it on the screen and 9 10 publish to the jury Exhibit 700. 11 This is the e-mail account that the individual you 12 knew as Ricky Vaughn e-mailed you from? 1.3 That's correct. 14 Okay. Now, the proposal came along with this; is that 15 right? 16 That's correct. 17 Okay. Did you enter into an agreement with Mr. Mackey? 18 I did not enter into the agreement that he proposed which 19 was for me to pay for that service. I did not pay for that 20 service. I gave him access to my Facebook account so he had 21 an administrator access for three months to my Facebook 22 account. 23 Q Okay. 24 For my campaign. 25 Without getting into the full details of what happened

## Case 1:21-cr-00080-AMD Document 123 Filed 04/21/23 Page 162 of 218 PageID #: 1878 SIDEBAR CONFERENCE 162 1 (Sidebar conference.) 2 THE COURT: I probably misunderstood but I thought 3 everybody agreed that the fact that they parted company is 4 what leads to him telling everybody who he is. I thought you 5 didn't object to that. You just object to the --6 MR. FRISCH: My understanding is for whatever it's 7 worth, the Government wants to bring out that he revealed that 8 Ricky Vaughn was Doug Mackey. As a result of your interaction 9 with Mr. Mackey, did you reveal Mr. Vaughn as Doug Mackey, 10 that's it. 11 THE COURT: I don't think there's anything wrong 12 with that. He's already said they had a falling out. There's 13 no problem with that, I guess. You don't -- they had a 14 falling out. I'll leave the Facebook thing in and then let's 15 just see what happens and then go what happened next. 16 MR. PAULSEN: Your Honor, we're attempting not to 17 bring out his specific problem with the defendant and just 18 say: As a result, revealed his identity. 19 THE COURT: Right. So I'm assuming you won't have a 20 problem if he just leads him through that if he says, as a 21 result of the falling out, did you then reveal his identity? 22 MR. PAULSEN: That's fine.

23 THE COURT: All right. Good. (Sidebar discussion concludes.) 24

A I'm a computer programmer, developer, database.

What do you do professionally?

24

AMY STEPHEN - DIRECT - MR. PAULSEN

- 1 on databases and web development.
- 2 Q I'd like to you ask some questions about some things that
- 3 took place or some occasions that took place back in 2016?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Back then were you on Twitter?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q What names did you use when you were on Twitter?
- 8 A For the most of the time that I was on Twitter, I used
- 9 the name Amy Stephen, my name. And then I changed that to a
- 10 group Twitter account named Alt Right Info.
- 11 Q Alt Right Info?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q During the time that you were on Twitter in 2016, did you
- 14 have contact with another account that went by the name Ricky
- 15 Vaughn?
- 16 A I did.
- 17 Q Do you remember what account handle or name Ricky Vaughn
- 18 used?
- 19 A Ricky Vaughn 99.
- 20 Q Did you know the real person around the account?
- 21 A I did not.
- 22 Q Did you have public interactions with him, tweets and
- 23 retweets?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q What about private communications and DMs or group DMs?

podcast and verified that that was your voice?

Yes, I did.

24

take, I won't hold you to the exact date but it was about

24

25

March 20, 2016?

AMY STEPHEN - CROSS - MR. FRISCH

- 1 A That could be.
- 2 Q All right. And this podcast, it wasn't just you and the
- 3 person you knew as Ricky Vaughn, there was at least one other
- 4 person participating; is that right?
- 5 A There were a couple other people, I think maybe three
- 6 other people participating. One was went by the handle
- 7 Dr. Illusion and then also his -- his podcast always had
- 8 another man whose name I don't remember. He came in kind of
- 9 late in the podcast and then also Dr. Illusion's wife briefly
- 10 was in the podcast.
- 11 Q All right. And the full length of this podcast was,
- 12 again, I'm not holding you to with precision, but it was more
- 13 than two and a half hours; correct?
- 14 A It was very long.
- 15 Q All right. And the purpose of podcast, it was
- 16 essentially a discussion of issues of the day; is that right?
- 17 A Well, the initially the podcast was to be about an event
- 18 that happened to me on Twitter where I had been bullied for a
- 19 long period of time for talking to Ricky Vaughn. But it
- 20 turned into more the kind of a discussion of the political
- 21 points of interest at that time.
- 22 Q And that was essentially what was being discussed during
- 23 | the course of that podcast, correct?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 Q It was a respectful conversation --

25

conversation?

Case 1:21-cr-00080-AMD Document 123 Filed 04/21/23 Page 173 of 218 PageID #: 1889

- 1 MR. BUFORD: Thank you, your Honor.
- 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 3 BY MR. BUFORD:
- 4 Q Good afternoon, Ms. Tolson.
- 5 A Good afternoon.
- 6 Q Are you currently employed?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Where do you work?
- 9 A At Twitter.
- 10 Q What is your position there?
- 11 A I'm a senior associate on the legal operations team.
- 12 Q Can you give us a sense of your day to day
- 13 responsibilities in that role?
- 14 A I respond to information requests sent by law enforcement
- 15 via warrants, subpoenas, and court orders. I look at user
- 16 accounts and provide the records associated that law
- 17 | enforcement is requesting.
- 18 Q How long have you been at Twitter?
- 19 A Since 2020.
- 20 Q Have you been in that same position since you started?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Prior to joining the company as an employee, did you use
- 23 Twitter yourself?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q When did you first start using Twitter?

- 1 A In 2012.
- 2 Q From your work at Twitter and your own personal use, are
- 3 you generally familiar with the types of services Twitter
- 4 offers its subscribers?
- 5 A Yes, I am.
- 6 Q Are you also familiar with Twitter's data retention
- 7 policies?
- 8 A Yes, I am.
- 9 Q What is needed to set up an account at Twitter?
- 10 A A subscriber needs a name, e-mail, address, and/or phone
- 11 number.
- 12 Q Once you have an account, can you choose a name to use on
- 13 Twitter?
- 14 A Yes, you can choose a username.
- 15 Q Does that have to be a real name?
- 16 A No, it does not have to be.
- 17 Q Can you also choose a profile picture?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Does it have to be a picture of yourself?
- 20 A No, it does not.
- 21 Q What kind of pictures can you use?
- 22 A It can be practically anything a dog, a cat, a fish, a
- 23 building.
- 24 Q Is a profile picture sometimes called an avatar?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q I want to talk about some services offered by Twitter
- 2 once a subscriber has an account and logs in, will they be
- 3 able to see something called a timeline?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 O What is a timeline?
- A A timeline is a series of real time tweets tweeted by a
- 7 user's followers on Twitter.
- 8 Q What is a tweet?
- 9 A A tweet is a short message that a subscriber or user can
- 10 make. It consists of texts. It can also consist of media
- 11 such as videos images or URL links as well.
- 12 Q If a user posts a tweet, to whom is that tweet visible?
- 13 A It's visible to the user's followers.
- 14 O Does one have to be a Twitter subscriber to view a
- 15 person's tweets?
- 16 A No, they do not.
- 17 Q If you're not a Twitter user, how do you see someone's
- 18 tweets?
- 19 A You could go online and Google the person's username and
- 20 | their timeline will come up. Someone else could share the
- 21 tweet via screenshot. They are pretty accessible.
- 22 Q Can someone have a private Twitter account?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q What is that?
- 25 A When a person's Twitter account is private that means the

- 1 person has set their Twitter account so only their followers
- 2 can view their tweets.
- 3 Q If that's true, could someone still Google the tweets and
- 4 see them publicly?
- 5 A No. It will show up as private.
- 6 Q What is a retweet?
- 7 A A retweet is when one user reposts another user's tweet
- 8 to their timeline.
- 9 Q Can a Twitter subscriber who wants to retweet add
- 10 additional content to the original tweet when they retweet it?
- 11 A Yes. That's is called as a quoted tweet.
- 12 Q You mentioned followers a couple of times. If you're a
- 13 Twitter subscriber, can you choose to follow other Twitter
- 14 subscribers?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q What does it mean to follow someone on Twitter?
- 17 A You follow them, the content that they tweet out, so
- 18 their tweets, retweets will show up on your timeline as well.
- 19 Q Are you familiar with the term impression as used by
- 20 Twitter?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q What is an impression?
- 23 A Impressions refers to like the interaction that a tweet
- 24 receives, such as the likes or retweets that a tweet has.
- 25 Q Are you familiar with the term mention with respect to

- 21 Can a direct message include things besides textual
- 22 characters?
- 23 Α Yes.
- 24 What can it include?
- 25 It can include photographs, videos, URL links as well.

- 1 Q You just mentioned a direct message group, what is that?
- 2 A It's just when there are multiple subscribers
- 3 participating in a private group message.
- 4 Q Would this be similar to a group text chain or online
- 5 chat group?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Are direct message groups public on Twitter?
- 8 A No, they are not.
- 9 Q Would Twitter allow a user to search for a direct message
- 10 group?
- 11 A No, that's not a function.
- 12 Q How would a user who is not a member of a direct message
- group become a member of a direct message group?
- 14 A They would have to be added by a user that is already a
- 15 member of said direct message group.
- 16 O If a Twitter user is not logged into their Twitter
- 17 account, at the time when their account receives a direct
- 18 message can they still see that message when they next log in?
- 19 A Yes, they will.
- 20 Q Similarly, if a Twitter user member of a direct message
- 21 group, is not logged into their Twitter account when other
- 22 members exchange messages, will those messages still be
- visible to the Twitter user who belongs to that group when
- 24 they log back in?
- 25 A Yes, they will.

- 1 Q Even though the user was not logged in when the messages
- 2 were sent, right?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Does Twitter retain copies of tweets sent from its
- 5 | accounts subscribers?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q What about copies of direct messages?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Does Twitter retain the copies of the content of these
- 10 | direct message groups?
- 11 A Yes, we do.
- 12 Q Apart from whether a particular account actually received
- 13 a direct message, does Twitter track somehow whether the user
- 14 read that message?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Similarly apart from the contents of direct message
- 17 groups, does Twitter track whether a user actually read the
- 18 contents of a direct message group to which they belonged?
- 19 A No, not to my knowledge.
- 20 Q Can a Twitter user include links to websites in their
- 21 tweets?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Can they also include links in direct messages?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q If the website to which the link in a tweet is deleted or

JAIME TOLSON - DIRECT - MR. BUFORD

- 1 taken down, will the link still work in the tweet?
- 2 A The link will still be visible in the tweet. However,
- 3 it's not up to Twitter whether or not the link works to an
- 4 actual website.
- 5 Q The same with a direct message?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q What happens to a tweet if a user deletes it?
- 8 A If a user deletes a tweet, it's held in our production
- 9 tools up to nine days, then purged by our system by after 14.
- 10 Q What happens between day nine and day 14?
- 11 A It's put inside of a cue to be erased. It's no longer
- 12 available from our production tools.
- 13 Q Does Twitter sometimes suspend the accounts of its users?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q What happens if an account is suspended?
- 16 A If an account is suspended, it's placed in a read-only
- mode where users have limited access to the account. They can
- 18 no longer tweet or retweet things or make likes.
- 19 Q Does Twitter maintain records for a suspended account?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q For how long?
- 22 A It's based on Twitter policies.
- 23 Q Will Twitter sometimes permanently suspend an account?
- 24 A Yes, we do.
- 25 Q What happens in that situation?

JAIME TOLSON - DIRECT - MR. BUFORD

- 1 A When an account is permanently suspended, the user loses
- 2 | complete access to the account. They can no lodger log in to
- 3 view timeline or messages. And the use can no longer create
- 4 other accounts on Twitter.
- 5 Q If a Twitter user is in the more limited suspension, will
- 6 Twitter sometimes grant access to that user for the purpose of
- 7 | correcting whatever it was that may have led to the
- 8 suspension?
- 9 A To my knowledge, if a user is just suspended, not
- 10 permanently suspended, they have access to their account but
- 11 just limited access.
- 12 Q Can you explain what the limited access is?
- 13 A They can still view their timeline, view their tweets,
- 14 and mentions and DMs, but can't interact on the platform.
- 15 Q To go back where we were a moment ago. In addition to a
- 16 deleted tweet, if a user deletes a direct message does Twitter
- 17 keep it or does that similarly get purged at a certain point?
- 18 A It's available via our production tools up to 14 days
- 19 then erased and no longer available.
- MR. BUFORD: If I may have one moment?
- 21 THE COURT: Sure.
- MR. BUFORD: No further questions.
- THE COURT: Any cross-examination?
- 24 MR. FRISCH: Very little. May I do it from here?
- THE COURT: Yes.

JAIME TOLSON - CROSS - MR. FRISCH

- 1 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 2 BY MR. FRISCH:
- 3 Q I'm Andy Frisch. I'm here with Mr. Mackey. You and have
- 4 | never spoken before, correct?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q Your knowledge of Twitter is both from your use of it as
- 7 | from someone who tweets or had a Twitter account and from your
- 8 employment, correct?
- 9 A That's correct.
- 10 Q Is there a concept on Twitter of muting a group or muting
- 11 messages? Does that term exist in Twitter?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q What does that mean?
- 14 A mute is when a user can mute certain accounts or
- 15 messages from an account.
- 16 Q What is the affect, if a user mutes, what is the affect
- 17 of that to the user?
- 18 A The user no longer would get the same amount of -- like
- 19 the information won't come directly, they went get a ping, it
- 20 | won't be directly given to the user, the information will
- 21 still be available just muted.
- 22 Q Are there terms of service for Twitter or rules of the
- 23 road, so to speak?
- 24 A Can you repeat that?
- 25 Q When you sign on for Twitter, when a user signs on for

JAIME TOLSON - CROSS - MR. FRISCH

- 1 Twitter first time or opening an account, are there terms of
- 2 service that they are prompted to look at?
- 3 A Yes. Twitter refers to it as Twitter policies, yes.
- 4 Q Is it the kind of terms of prompt where you have to
- 5 | acknowledge that you've read them before you can go further
- 6 into Twitter or simply optional to look at it?
- 7 A I can't speak to specifics of the sign on process in that
- 8 formality.
- 9 Q You know there are terms of service but you're not sure
- 10 exactly what happens at the sign-in?
- 11 A No, that's not directly what I deal with, no.
- 12 Q Are you familiar with the terms of service generally
- 13 speaking, what the terms of service tell people who decide to
- 14 look at it?
- 15 A Am I familiar in what?
- 16 O Do the terms of service include information about the
- 17 types of content to which users might be exposed to if they
- 18 use Twitter?
- 19 A I'm not sure the specifics of what the terms of service
- 20 lists, but it does list the Twitter policies that users should
- 21 abide by by using Twitter.
- Q Do those policies tell Twitter users they may see content
- 23 | that is inappropriate or inaccurate or offensive? Do the
- rules of the road or the terms of service tell users that they
- 25 may see that type of content?

you don't understand a question or you would like to have it

repeated, let me know. Okay?

24

Can you walk us through the positions you held at the FBI

JOEL DE CAPUA - DIRECT - MR. BUFORD 187 1 since you started there until your current role? 2 I started at Quantico, Virginia, as new agent 3 trainee, approximately six months. 4 Then assigned to the Newark field office where I 5 worked securities fraud and public corruption and other white-collar crimes between 2010 and about 2014. 6 7 Then I took a transfer to the New York field office, 8 assigned to cyber crimes initially. My first squad was CY2. I was there from approximately 2014 to 2018. 9 10 Then in 2018 I took a promotion to Washington DC to 11 work at the cyber division, which is where I oversaw 12 investigations and I rated field offices and provided 1.3 resources. I was there for a total of four and-a-half years. 14 Then recently, eight months ago, I came back to the 15 New York field office to work investigations. 16 When you were in the cyber division, did you also participate in something called the global investigations 17 (sic) and targeting unit? 18 19 Yes, the acronym GOTU, global operations and targeting 20 unit. 21 What was that? 22 When I was at headquarters, it was two specific units. 23 First was major cyber crimes unit, I was there for 18 months. Then I switched to the global operations and targeting unit, 24

which is another headquarter position, another supervisory

- 1 | role. Where my job was to provide resources to case teams, to
- 2 initiate new investigations, and to generally provide expert
- 3 knowledge for people in the field offices who are working
- 4 cyber crime.
- 5 Q As part of your job, have you participated in
- 6 computer-related investigations at the FBI?
- 7 A I have.
- 8 Q Approximately how many?
- 9 A So the ones that I've personally been assigned to as case
- 10 manager, probably a couple dozens. The ones I assisted in,
- 11 participated in, or oversaw in the dozens and dozens, probably
- 12 middle double digits; I don't know for certain.
- 13 Q How many of those computer investigations involved the
- 14 Internet?
- 15 A All of them.
- 16 O How many of those computer-related investigations have
- 17 involved the use of computers and the Internet in and around
- 18 the greater New York City area?
- 19 A It's hard to put an exact number, but all the cases at
- 20 least when I was assigned in New York, a lot.
- 21 Q What is your educational background?
- 22 A I have a Bachelor's of arts and economics from DePaul
- 23 University. And I have a Masters in science and accounting
- 24 from Indiana University.
- 25 Q Do you hold any certifications related to your work on

Is it sometimes referred to as GIAC?

24

25

It is.

- 1 Q Is it affiliated with SANS?
- 2 A It is.
- 3 Q What is SANS?
- 4 A SANS is a training provider that offers training in
- 5 courses in cybersecurity.
- 6 Q So what does it mean that you have a security essential
- 7 certification?
- 8 A So it means I took a course of study from SANS, the
- 9 people that put on the training. Then sat for a five-hour
- 10 | test that to prove I met a certain knowledge threshold and was
- 11 awarded the certification.
- 12 Q In 2016 did you receive from the GIAC a certified
- 13 intrusion analyst certification?
- 14 A I did.
- 15 Q Can you tell us what that is?
- 16 A So the certification we just discussed was more of like
- 17 an intermediate level, very generalized certification. This
- one was a step up from that, that focuses more particularly on
- 19 Internet communications, network packets, how data moves from
- 20 one computer to another computer on a network, then being able
- 21 to look at that data and determine whether or not there is any
- 22 type of network security issues.
- 23 Q Did you similarly have to sit for a test for this
- 24 certification?
- 25 A I did.

- 1 Q You passed it?
- 2 A I did.
- 3 Q In 2017 did you receive a certification from the GIAC as
- 4 network forensic analyst?
- 5 A I did.
- 6 0 What does that mean?
- 7 A Again, this is another certification exam that focused in
- 8 particular on monitoring network communications within a
- 9 | network to determine if there is any type of malicious
- 10 activity.
- 11 Q Did you similarly have to sit for a test for that
- 12 certification as well?
- 13 A I did.
- 14 Q You passed it a take it?
- 15 A I did.
- 16 Q Have you ever been qualified to testify as an expert
- 17 witness in federal court before?
- 18 A I have.
- 19 Q As part of your job, have you had to learn about various
- 20 web-based applications that transmit data through the
- 21 Internet?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Does that include web mail providers like gmail, hotmail?
- 24 A It.
- 25 Q What about social media applications like Facebook and

- 1 Twitter?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q As part of your job, have you had to become familiar with
- 4 the way data is transmitted over the Internet in the greater
- 5 New York City area?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q How have you become familiar with it?
- 8 A So initially it was before I was even assigned to cyber
- 9 crime. I was assigned to securities fraud and investigations
- 10 market manipulation in 2014. There became some questions as
- 11 to high-speed trading. And something is that was very
- 12 relevant in that investigation was the latency of network
- 13 communications from one place to another place. That's when I
- 14 began to learn about and understand the Internet
- 15 infrastructure that allows someone in Chicago to communicate
- 16 with someone in New York.
- 17 Q As part of your job, have you continued to have knowledge
- of how that works in the greater New York City area?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q How important is it to your job to understand that?
- 21 A It's very important.
- 22 Q Are you familiar with the state of the data
- 23 infrastructure in New York City at this time?
- 24 A Generally, yes.
- 25 Q How about how it existed in the year of 2016?

If this resident were to send a tweet while on the

hypothetical resident of the island of Manhattan in the year

23

2.4

25

2016.

1 island of Manhattan, and assuming the servers of Twitter are

2 | not located on the island of Manhattan, from your knowledge

3 and experience, what route would the data containing the tweet

take to reach Twitter servers?

final destination.

A It depends on how the hypothetical person is connected to the Internet. If they were connected using a home ISP, such as Verizon or AT&T, then that data would go from their computer or their cellphone, if they are connected to the WiFi. And that specific tweet would leave as a network packet and it will go to, first, the home router. Then that data packet would be sent to the Internet service provider, again Verizon or AT&T, and from there it would take a series of hops along intermediary, what we call routers, until it reaches its

To go from the home router to the ISP's router it would most likely be high-speed fiber optic cable. To go from the router located in New York City — the router in Manhattan to outside of Manhattan, it probably went through a fiber optic cable that is laid underneath one of the bodies of water around the island of Manhattan. Many are through the different tunnels the Holland Tunnel, the train tunnels they have fiber optic cables running through them, also the bridges. So some of the bridges are wired with sending data outside the island of Manhattan. That would be the first way if they are using home ISPs.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

24

JOEL DE CAPUA - DIRECT - MR. BUFORD

195

If they were connected via a mobile phone data network, then it would be a little different route. First the phone would connect to one of the mobile towers in Manhattan. From there the packet containing the tweet would go to the mobile tower and sent down to its base station, which again, it would be routed out one of these fiber optic high-speed low latency trunk lines that leave the island of Manhattan through one of the bridges or tunnels. Just to clarify, in either of these two scenarios what is the moment where the data packet actually leaves the island of Manhattan? What is the route that it takes to leave the island? 13 It's going be through one of these fiber optic lines running under one of the bodies of water surrounding Manhattan. Apart from these two scenarios, the user is connected to 17 their own WiFi or through a cellular data network, is there any other scenario to which the tweet could leave the island of Manhattan? Yes, so two scenarios. The first one would be if for some reason they are on their cellphone and near one of the 22 rivers and the data gets broadcasted not to a cellphone tower 23 located on the island of Manhattan but a cellphone tower located in Brooklyn or Queens or the Jersey side. This is 25 unlikely because there is tons and tons of cellular towers in

Manhattan. So something would have to go seriously wrong for that to happen.

The only other way, if someone was using satellite ISPs, such as a HughesNet or Viasat. Which frankly is pretty rare in Manhattan, normally it's more rural areas that don't have ISPs. In that case, the data would go to the home router, then to the personal satellite dish where it would be beamed via radio frequency to an orbiting satellite.

- Q In either of those two scenarios, would the data have to pass over the waters surrounding Manhattan?
- 11 A Yes.

12 Q Why is that?

A Satellite can be low orbit or geostationary, in terms of Internet providers. I don't think there was any low orbit satellite Internet providers in 2016. So in 2016 it would have been either HughesNet or Viasat, which are both geostationary satellites. Geostationary are very high up, not moving relative to the surface of the earth; highly unlikely that one would be directly above the island of Manhattan, which is a small place. There is only a handful of these geostationary satellites over the United States. And more likely than not, you see the satellite dishes won't be it pointed straight up, they would be pointed off in the horizon, the sky is a big place. If you look at the narrow band of the amount of degree that the satellite would have to be pointed

JOEL DE CAPUA - CROSS - MR. FRISCH 197 1 up, it's extraordinary unlikely that there will be a 2 geostationary satellite above the island of Manhattan. 3 Even if there were, the data would be hypothetically 4 straight up to the geostationary satellite, then it would be 5 sent to one of the base stations, where it would be very high 6 up and still cross the threshold of one of the bodies of water 7 that surrounds Manhattan. 8 In the other scenario you described, where the 9 hypothetical cellphone user is close to the coastline of 10 Manhattan, rather than connecting to a cellphone tower in 11 Manhattan, the tweet jumps to a cellphone tower in New Jersey 12 Brooklyn or Queens. There the network packet is still passing 1.3 over the waters surrounding Manhattan; is that correct? 14 Correct. 15 MR. BUFORD: May I have a minute? 16 THE COURT: Sure. 17 MR. BUFORD: No further questions, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Cross-examination. 19 MR. FRISCH: Just a bit. 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2.1 BY MR. FRISCH: 22 Agent, good afternoon. 23 Good afternoon.

I'm Andy Frisch. I'm here with Mr. Mackey. You and I

24

25

have never met, correct?

# PROCEEDINGS

201

coming to court, your prior testimony and interview reports

that you prepare in connection with the case were disclosed,

3 correct?

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Yes.

MR. FRISCH: Thank you, sir. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Any redirect?

MR. BUFORD: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you so much. You can step down.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

THE COURT: Do you have any other witnesses to call?

MR. PAULSEN: We don't have any further witnesses.

THE COURT: That's fine. We made progress for

13 today.

I'm going to excuse you for the day. Let me remind you, don't look anything up on the Internet. If there are news reports of this case, don't listen to them, don't permit anyone to talk to you about the case. Don't talk to anyone else about the case either amongst yourselves or with anyone else.

The other thing that is, I'm sure it's obvious to you, we can't get started until all of you are here in the morning. And I am very conscious of not wasting your time and making the best use of your time while you're here with us as jurors. So please, be on time and then we'll get moving.

One thing I don't think I mentioned when we started

# PROCEEDINGS

is that I typically finish at 5:00 o'clock. But if there is a witness that we can finish, I will sometimes keep us past 5:00 o'clock to keep things moving. But obviously we're moving along very well.

I hope you all have a great night. Listen to Ms. Greene in terms of the -- listen to her about everything -- but she'll tell you where to go tomorrow.

Have a good night we'll see you tomorrow.

(Jury exits the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Everyone can be seated. I don't know what tomorrow is going to be like. Anything I should be aware of in terms of issues that are going to come up or anybody want to raise anything?

MR. FRISCH: Your Honor, there are two things that -- there is one that I have not yet had an opportunity to discuss with the Government, let me start with that one.

I hope to have time to memorialize this but I may not; if so, with the Court's permission I'll argue it. Here is the issue.

The Government submitted under seal a letter dated March 12, 2023. Because it's under seal I don't want to speak about its contents, but the issue that I want to raise with the Court, at least give the Court preview that I intend to raise it, has to do with the cooperating witness who I expect will testify maybe later tomorrow afternoon or maybe Wednesday

# PROCEEDINGS

203

1 morning. There is a combination of two issues. I'm not quite

sure how to approach this because the situation is somewhat

3 unusual.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Let me ask you, is there something you have to raise that's not under seal? If it's under seal, I'm a little -- I don't know what it is, I haven't seen it yet.

MR. FRISCH: Understood. It's a combination of two things. It's the Government's letter under seal of March 12, I'm not going to talk about that.

There is another issue which is in Judge Garaufis' unsealed public order, which is docket 82.

THE COURT: Which date is that? I have them all here.

MR. FRISCH: March 8. One of the issues, one of the purported bases for the anonymity of the witness is ongoing work that he's doing for the Government.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. FRISCH: My understanding, and the Government will correct me if I'm wrong, a couple of things. First of all, the work that he's doing, he's doing anonymously, number one.

Number two, my understanding is he's not being paid for it. The problem that I have is that while the details of what he's doing may not be of any interest to the defense, the nature of the relationship and the resulting bias that he may

PROCEEDINGS 204

1 have is very much of interest.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 THE COURT: May I ask, did Judge Garaufis rule on this already? It's not my intent to revisit --

MR. FRISCH: It's not my intent to do so. However, in his ruling he said that this particular issue was subject to being revisited during trial. I believe that's at page 11, page ten to one within his order.

THE COURT: He preliminary granted leave to make a renewed application if specific circumstances arise that you're able to articulate a particular basis on which the witness's work would be relevant beyond the general proposition that there may be political or other motivations behind the decision to cooperate.

So is there anything new that's come up?

MR. FRISCH: There is nothing new that's come up.

However, here is my point --

17 THE COURT: Right.

MR. FRISCH: -- I know nothing about this. I don't have a basis to cross-examine this witness at all. I don't know how it started. I don't know how much time he's spending with the FBI. I don't know anything about it. My expectation is, and maybe I'm wrong to have this expectation, that the Government on direct examination will go into this to some extent and I'll have a basis to understand the parameters of the relationship, how it started, the degree of content,

1.3

PROCEEDINGS

contact, the number of hours, all of that. That is relevant to my cross-examination of this witness on bias and his relationship to the Government; otherwise, I know nothing about him.

THE COURT: I don't understand why you need to know the nature of the work he's doing in order to cross-examine him. I think that's what judge Garaufis said.

MR. FRISCH: I don't need to know the details, I need to know how it came about. I need to know how much time he spends doing it. I need to know what the degree of contact he has with agents is. I should be able to inquire that he's not doing it for financial benefit, that's my understanding. I'm raising this issue and not making the application definitely right now.

THE COURT: Just so I'm aware of it.

MR. FRISCH: Just so you're aware of it.

In this context, I would ask the Court -- I'm not going to go into it -- to take a look at the Government's sealed letter in which they raise certain factual issues, which I think are part of the mix that I'm going to ask the Court to consider in determining how some relief can be fashioned to allow the defense to confront this witness on his ongoing relationship with the Government, understanding that the particular details and the particular work probably doesn't matter, but there is the scope of the relationship

PROCEED INGS	PROCEEDINGS	
--------------	-------------	--

1 which I think is fair to go into.

THE COURT: I just want to make sure I understand the basis for the application. Is it your position that there is something that would affect his credibility simply because he is in this relationship with law enforcement?

MR. FRISCH: Yes, depending on what the -- depending on some details about it. When I say details, I don't mean the nature of work. I'm talking about the scope, the hours, the number of agents, the contours. I don't know anything about it.

This is not like the typical situation. I think the case is Riverio, a drug informant who makes various undercover buys. That person's identity is kept secret so the person can continue to make undercover buys. I don't think anyone disagrees this situation is highly unusual.

This is someone who was anonymous online. And someone who apparently is doing this work anonymously. I'm not sure I care what the work is, but as it stands right now --

THE COURT: You want to know why he started doing it, is that it?

MR. FRISCH: That's one thing I want to know. I want to know the scope of it. When I say the scope, how many hours is he spending, how many agents is he working for.

THE COURT: Let me hear from the Government. I'm

1.3

#### PROCEEDINGS

not so sure, like I say, I have to look at the letter. I'm not so sure I see a credibility question here, but let me hear from the Government in terms of what, if any, response you have, to what is not an application it's just what counsel wants me to be aware of, a concern that he has — do I have that right?

MR. FRISCH: And to tee it up, so I give you your Honor a little bit of lead time before I make a formal application.

THE COURT: All right. Making a formal -- I guess that's what I'm having a little trouble with. It sounds like you want more detail about what is happening, but I feel like it's in a vacuum a little bit.

What do you have to say about that?

MR. BUFORD: In light of Judge Garaufis' opinion, I don't think that we're hearing anything specific that should cause the Court to revisit what was previously said. The Government is aware of and has complied with its discovery obligations with respect to any potential Brady or Giglio that might exist as a result of the cooperating witness' work with the Government. And in the cases we've seen, that representation is sufficient.

We do agree that the defense can inquire generally about the fact that in addition to the anticipated testimony, the witness is involved in other law enforcement activities

Rivka Teich CSR RPR RMR FCRR Official Court Reporter

PROCEEDINGS

because we think that goes to bias. I'm not sure beyond that -- I also agree it's fair to ask whether the cooperating witness is being paid for the work that they are doing. The answer is no. Beyond that, I'm not sure whether there is a total of 50 investigative hours or five investigative hours necessarily matters as far as credibility.

THE COURT: Here is what I'm going to suggest. I reviewed Judge Garaufis' decision about this yesterday, which is whey I was a little surprised to hear it come up again, but I take the point. If you can come to any accommodation between yourselves about if there is additional detail that is not going to endanger the witness, that's not going to impair any current investigations, maybe you can come to some kind of agreement if there is a general parameter how much of his time this takes, things like that.

What I don't want to have happen, and that's why
I'll take a look it at more, I don't want to have -- I'm not
concerned about anybody doing something to jeopardize either
these unrelated investigations or the witness's safety, but
that's why I appreciate the preview now rather than -- is the
witness testifying tomorrow?

MR. BUFORD: Probably not, but maybe depending on how the schedule works out.

THE COURT: So it's on my radar. What I suggest is you see -- tell them the kinds of things you're looking for

# PROCEEDINGS

MR. FRISCH: And the only other thing, and I think

the Government and I agree on is there are a number of essentially chats, messages and tweets. The Government and

4 the defense have been working to narrow issues of dispute, and

in fact we have narrowed the issues of dispute, but there

6 remain a large number. At least a significant number.

THE COURT: To what extent were any of these -sorry to cut you off. To what extent were any of these, these
are things that are left open in Judge Garaufis previous
decision?

MR. FRISCH: That is correct. And so I think I certainly would want to be heard further in way of oral argument or answering questions before your Honor rules. I'm sure the Government feels that way as well.

THE COURT: Are these all of the things you identified in the letter of last night.

MR. FRISCH: They are them, but I think Judge
Garaufis reserved decision on a number of such other exhibits
on earlier applications.

THE COURT: I think that's right. I just wanted to make sure there wasn't something else that isn't memorialized someplace. I know to the extent that you can come to some agreement on some of these things, I think the general lesson to be taken from Judge Garaufis's decisions on these cases is that you don't - - that there's a point at which certain

ToniAnn Lucatorto RPR, RMR, CRR

# PROCEEDINGS

things become cumulative, I can't remember what they are. And the caution to stay inside, with certain exceptions, the time period that we're talking about here rather than having things from two years earlier or two years later come in. I think that was the general view that he had on a lot of these things. Some of these messages, or tweets, or whatever they are a little bit inflammatory, but I think they're relevant. Some of them are relevant to the motivation that's alleged for the particular conduct.

But I'm going to, I will look at, we have a list of the things that are still left open and if there are thing that's can't, that you can't agree about, let me know. But I do think it's a good idea to do it before whatever they are is going to come into evidence. So we're not running over to the side every time. I'm just trying to think of when. I take it some of them will come in tomorrow.

MR. PAULSEN: Yes, your Honor. So the current plan is all of these materials come in through a summary witness.

I heard your Honor that you do not like it when witnesses read things.

THE COURT: Well it's boring for one thing and nobody listens to it. The thing is that it's in evidence. I mean, maybe some of these things aren't boring. It depends on what the document is. I just, you know, I've had lawyers try to start reading an entire contact. I don't expect anything

ToniAnn Lucatorto RPR, RMR, CRR

like that's going to happen. I'm just thinking as a matter of common sense. When a document is in evidence, it's available for you to use on your summations. So I'm not going to keep anybody from highlighting something that's significant. I'm just reminding you what you already know which is when it's in evidence, you don't have to read every word.

MR. PAULSEN: We understand that, your Honor. In this particular case we started with a very large universe of relevant materials. You're correct that Judge Garaufis issued an opinion on which he gave us guidance in terms of timeframe 's. When it came to the documents, he went page by page and made a fairly granular decision. The letter that Mr. Frisch filed was on top of those decisions where we showed the Court which things that we were fully redacting, which parts we agreed to partially redact, and these are further questions upon the reminders that were subject to that opinion.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. PAULSEN: We've talked again, we've talked as recently as last night. We consented to redact a few further things, but the letter as I understand is is mostly things we're not in agreement on anymore, or we think at some level we've redacted enough. Our concern is that it is all electronic. We can do some redacting in the courtroom, but it's a little difficult to do it on the fly. I don't know what makes sense to your Honor, but the motion is joined to

PROCEEDINGS 213

1 some extent.

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: It's what?

MR. PAULSEN: The motion is joined. We're ready.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, they're kind of ready.

I'm just hearing about some of them now but it's fine. The

things that are still, I'll take a look at them tonight. But

7 I think a lot of think has been aired in front of Judge

8 | Garaufis and I don't intend to and I don't think anybody is

9 asking me to, to go back and re-decide something he's already

decided. So I'll take a look at them.

Just in terms of the order of your witnesses. Do you know about at what time these things would be coming in.

MR. PAULSEN: Yes, your Honor. We would intend to put in all of the smaller witnesses first and so Special Agent

Anthony Cunder from our office who would be the summary

16 witness. That I believe would be our longest witness. He is

collecting materials from lots of different Twitter sources.

18 Those are all of the materials that are the subject of that

order, as well as some materials, like the reports that we

20 authenticated today.

THE COURT: All right. Well I think maybe something that we can do is finish those smaller witnesses and then just give the jury a little bit of extra time so maybe we can hammer out -- I can decide what's still been left open and obviously hear the defenses thoughts on whatever those things

# PROCEEDINGS

214

are and yours as well. So do you think 45 minutes would do 2 it?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PAULSEN: I think probably, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Okay. So we can do that -so just in terms of timing. You think the morning for all of the short witnesses?

MR. PAULSEN: Your Honor, we have 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 5 short witnesses are relatively short witnesses. I think that probably eat up most of the morning, if not the entire morning.

THE COURT: I think I'll give the jury a little bit longer lunch and we can have that argument at 2 o'clock and we'll have them come back at 2:45. I think we're moving along pretty well. And just in terms of timing, I'm sure you probably told Judge Garaufis and Judge Reyes, but I know you said you're calling your client as a witness. Are you planning to call other witnesses as well?

MR. FRISCH: The answer is currently no.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm just trying to figure out timing. But and the other thing we have scheduled for tomorrow is to talk about the charge. So but that will be after the court date. After the court day. You know, at the end of the day. Just one second.

All right. Ms. Greene reminds me that I have a plea at lunch time tomorrow, but we can handle it. So I think

Case 1:2	21-cr-00080-AMD Document	123	File	d 04/21/23	Page 216 of 218 Pag	eID #: 1932
						216
1			Ι	N D E X		
2	WITNESS				PAGE	
3	ROBERT MC NEES					
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION	DΥ	MD	DITEODD	39	
5						
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION					
7	REDIRECT EXAMINATION				71	
8	RECROSS-EXAMINATION	ВҮ	MR.	FRISCH	72	
9	JESSICA MORALES ROCKE	TTO				
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION	ВҮ	MR.	GULLOTTA	75	
11	CROSS-EXAMINATION	ВҮ	MR.	FRISCH	95	
12	LLOYD COTLER					
13		7.17	* 41		0.6	
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION					
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION	BY	MR.	FRISCH	102	
16	MATTIAS CHESLEY					
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION	ВҮ	MR.	GULLOTTA	107	
18	OMER SAMIRI					
19		υΛ	N // I		117	
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION					
21	CROSS-EXAMINATION					
22	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	BY	MR.	GULLOTTA	136	
23	MICHAEL ANDERSON					
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION	ВҮ	MR.	BUFORD	138	
25	CROSS-EXAMINATION	ВҮ	MR.	FRISCH	144	

Case 1:	21-cr-00080-AMD	Document	123	File	d 04/21/23	Page 217	of 218 Page	D#: 1933
								217
1	MARK BERTUCCI							
2	DIRECT EXAMIN	ATION	ВҮ	MR.	PAULSEN	146		
3	CROSS-EXAMINA	TION	ВҮ	MR.	FRISCH	150		
4	PAUL NEHLEN							
5	DIRECT EXAMIN	λ T T ∩NI	RΥ	MD	DAIII.QFN	152		
6	DIVECT DVVIIIN	AIION	DΙ	MIV.	РАОПОБІЙ	<b>⊥</b>		
7	AMY STEPHEN							
8	DIRECT EXAMIN	ATION	ВҮ	MR.	PAULSEN	166		
9	CROSS-EXAMINA	TION	ВҮ	MR.	FRISCH	169		
10	JASMINE TOLSO	N						
11	DIRECT EXAMIN		RY	MR.	RIIFORD	174		
12	CROSS-EXAMINA							
13		11014		111.	11(10011	± 0 0		
14	JOEL DE CAPUA							
15	DIRECT EXAMIN	ATION	ВҮ	MR.	BUFORD	186		
16	CROSS-EXAMINA	TION	ВҮ	MR.	FRISCH	197		
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								

Case 1:2	21-cr-00080-AMD	Document 123	Filed 04/21/23	Page 218 of 218 Page 218 Page 218 Page 218 of 218 Page 218 of 218 Page 218	geID #: 1934
					218
1		E	X H I B I T	S	
2	GOVERNMENT			PAGE	
3	720			44	
4	721			48	
5	722			51	
6	727R			54	
7	726			72	
8	740			124	
9	201			142	
10	700			160	
11	DEFENSE			PAGE	
12	A & B			69	
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					